

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-538

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 13, 2026

The Complainant alleged a pro tem municipal court judge improperly allowed a criminal case against Complainant when an order of protection involving the same issues had been denied by another court.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Cathy Riggs did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 13, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-538

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

FOR THE RECORD OOP ON THIS COMPLAINT WAS CASE#

This COMPLAINT is based on improper venue, prior adjudication, and lack of jurisdiction, as the complaint forming the basis of Case No. _____ was already dismissed under Case No. _____ on _____, at the proper venue and under the proper jurisdiction.

_____ filed a duplicate complaint in a different court—the _____ Municipal Court Pending the hearing at _____ Court, file case number _____ — regarding the same Order of Protection that has already been heard and dismissed in a Higher Court.

_____ committed perjury by failing to disclose to the _____ Municipal Court that a hearing on the same matter was set for hearing and after hearing the case was resolved.

_____ also intentionally falsified Father address on her new filing by writing a false address ("horn headdress") Using her same address as father's preventing father from receiving court notice and resulting in an improper warrant for Father arrest warrant.

Father was required to appear in person before the court on _____, to have the warrant Quashed. Despite this, the matter was improperly set for further prosecution by the Municipal Court for another hearing on _____ at _____

These repeated acts have caused Father _____ severe financial injury, emotional distress, and mental strain, as well as unnecessary interference with his legal rights and parental responsibilities.

Prior Adjudication and Dismissal The complaint and Order of Protection underlying this action were already dismissed by the _____ Court of Arizona, _____ County, in Case No. _____ before HONORABLE _____, The Court found that the Plaintiff failed to demonstrate by a preponderance of the evidence that the Defendant committed or may commit acts of domestic violence, and ordered the _____ Order of Protection dismissed and quashed.

This Municipal Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction over matters previously adjudicated at the Higher Court level.

Father as suffer from judicial ethical misconduct
Abuse of Judicial Power
Violation: Judges must not use their authority to intimidate, harass, or retaliate
Trying to Jail someone for contempt without legal basis.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Using the bench to punish personal enemies.
a clear abuse of judicial power and ethics.

Improper Ex Parte Communication

Lack of Impartiality or Fairness

Showing bias based on race, gender, religion, or socioeconomic status.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Municipal Court

RECEIVED BY

MUNICIPAL COURT, COUNTY OF _____, STATE OF ARIZONA.

IN RE THE MATTER OF:

STATE OF ARIZONA,

Plaintiff,

Defendant,

Case No: _____

Complaint # _____

MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION, IMPROPER VENUE, AND PRIOR ADJUDICATION/ DEMAND FOR DISMISSAL FROM ALL RECORDS AND REMOVAL OF ALL MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES

Assigned to: HONORABLE _____

FOR THE RECORD OOP ON THIS COMPLAINT

WAS CASE# _____

MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION, IMPROPER VENUE, AND PRIOR ADJUDICATION/ DEMAND FOR DISMISSAL FROM ALL RECORDS AND REMOVAL OF ALL MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES

MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION, IMPROPER VENUE, AND PRIOR ADJUDICATION/ DEMAND FOR DISMISSAL FROM ALL RECORDS AND REMOVAL OF ALL MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES

1 The current filing duplicates an already-dismissed matter and therefore violates the
2 principles of res judicata and collateral estoppel.

3 3. Defective Service and Due Process Violations:

4 Notice or communication from an administrative agency cannot substitute for proper
5 judicial service of process.
6

7 Due process requires verifiable service issued and certified by the court. Petitioner has
8 not received lawful service and therefore cannot be compelled to proceed under a
9 defective process.

10 4. Special Appearance:

11 Defendant appears only by special appearance to challenge jurisdiction and does not
12 waive any rights or grant consent to the authority of this Court.
13

14 5. Pattern of Misuse and Perjury:

15 Plaintiff, _____, has engaged in repeated abusive filings, perjury under oath,
16 and submission of duplicate complaints in different courts to harass and cause injury to
17 Defendant —financially, emotionally, and mentally.
18

19 She has filed a complaint in a different court without disclosing prior hearings on the
20 same matter, and deliberately provided incorrect address information to obstruct notice
21 and due process.
22

23 **III. REQUEST FOR RELIEF**

24 Defendant respectfully demand that this Honorable Court:

25 MOTION TO DISMISS FOR LACK OF JURISDICTION, IMPROPER VENUE, AND PRIOR ADJUDICATION/
26 DEMAND FOR DISMISSAL FROM ALL RECORDS AND REMOVAL OF ALL MISDEMEANOR OFFENSES

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**