

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-559

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 13, 2026

The Complainant alleged a pro tem city court judge was racially biased in an injunction against harassment proceeding, and further alleges the pro tem city court judge is using witchcraft to spy on Complainant.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar and Cathy Riggs did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 13, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2025-559****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The plaintiffs are , and so is the judge. So she ruled against me, out of racial biased.

While in court she heard the plaintiff and wife lie about several things, but still sided with them.

The lies were very obvious.

They pulled up a video of me, from social media, in which they said I "threatened" to kill them.

I never said the words.

The judge heard the plaintiff lie about me having weapons.

I have no weapons.

I only have (BB guns, and BB rifles).

So how could I make death threats, without weapons?

The plaintiff showed a video of me, exposing satanic corruption in this country, where one of my BB gun rifles ~~was~~ was in the background but then I ~~ea~~ called out the fact that it was a BB gun rifle.

Even the plaintiff started admitting in a way that it was a BB gun.

Anyways even with the plaintiff and wife lying, the judge sided with them.

That's a basis for discrimination.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

 Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The judge is also involved into with witchcraft, which she is in part using right now, to spy on me, and adjust to what I'm saying, thru thru a network of Satanists. Just now she was just feeling nervous, and started redirecting it back to me, thru a network of other individuals, and occultic societies. They work together in this country. They're internal terrorists terrorists. The judge is a part of it. This is why she sided with my neighbors, because they're down with it too. That's the reason why I confronted ^{confronted} my neighbors and ended up in this situation, in the first place. Right now they're messing with my writings, this is why it looks so "Shaky"-inconsistent. They're also mocking me, ^{right now} with extreme hate.
--

MUNICIPAL COURT

Plaintiff	CASE NO:	HEARING ORDER
V		☐ Order of Protection ☒ Injunction Against Harassment ☐ Injunction Against Workplace Harassment
Defendant		Issued Date: _____

- ☐ The request for:
- ☐ A protective order is ☐ granted ☐ denied ☐ withdrawn. Reason: _____
 - ☐ A hearing is denied.
 - ☐ A motion to continue is denied.
 - ☐ A motion to modify is denied.

- ☐ The Court continues the hearing set for ____/____/____ (date). See Notice of Hearing.
☐ The Court cancels the hearing set for ____/____/____ (date).
☐ On Plaintiff's motion, the Court dismisses the protective order listed above.

At time of hearing:

Plaintiff: ☒ Appeared ☐ Failed to Appear but did not have Notice ☐ Failed to Appear but had Notice
 Defendant: ☒ Appeared ☐ Failed to Appear but did not have Notice ☐ Failed to Appear but had Notice

- ☐ The Court dismisses the protective order listed above.
☐ A protective order is denied.
☐ A protective order is granted. ☐ Brady applies. (18 USC § 922(g)(8)).
☒ The protective order listed above remains in effect. ☐ Brady applies. (18 USC § 922(g)(8)).
☐ As attached, the Court modifies the protective order listed above. ☐ Brady applies. (18 USC § 922(g)(8)).

Date

/S:
Judicial Officer

Printed Name

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMITTAL

Copy ☐ mailed ☒ provided personally to Plaintiff on ____/____/____ by _____
 Copy ☒ mailed ☐ provided personally to Defendant on ____/____/____ by _____