

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-575

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 11, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly denied his request to set aside his conviction in a criminal matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 11, 2026.

Comp

Attachments: [1.pdf](#)  
[2.pdf](#)  
[3.pdf](#)

25-575

---

**From:**

**Sent:**

**To:** Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;

**Subject:** Update: "New" complaint against Commissioner who used wrong evidence

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Attn: The Honorable

Attn: Investigative Journalist

Attn:

\*\* This is a new complaint against Commissioner who used the wrong evidence on to deny my set-aside conviction, when he referred to the wrong discovery.

\*\* He also made false and fraudulent statements under the penalty of perjury

\*\* He also made mention of my mental health, not knowing anything about my mental health, because the assessment done by the state was fraudulent and violated ADA Title II

\*\* I have named Commissioner in a lawsuit along with the County Courts for ADA Title II Violations.

\*\* Today I re-filed the set-aside conviction, as well.

God Bless

[\\_5.pdf](#)

[\\_4.pdf](#)

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON  
JUDICIAL CONDUCT  
COMPLAINT  
COMMISSIONER

( )

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 220  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

1. On \_\_\_\_\_, Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ presided over proceedings concerning my petition for a set-aside conviction in \_\_\_\_\_ County Court.

2. Prior to that date, in \_\_\_\_\_, NEW and MATERIAL EVIDENCE relevant to my case was provided by \_\_\_\_\_ of the Office of the Public Defender. This evidence was properly submitted for review and consideration.

3. Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ refused to review or consider this new evidence, effectively denying me the opportunity for fair and due process, a constitutional right.

4. In his written decision, Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ made false and fraudulent statements under penalty of perjury, misrepresenting the facts and procedural history of the case.

5. Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ further relied on evidence from a separate discovery that did not pertain to my case of \_\_\_\_\_ using it as a basis to deny my request for a set-aside.

6. These actions collectively denied me my constitutional right to due process and impartial adjudication, and they demonstrate bias, dishonesty and disregard for judicial ethics

7. A federal lawsuit ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) has been filed against Commissioner \_\_\_\_\_ concerning these actions, this complaint seeks "good faith" review strictly for the purpose of judicial discipline under the authority of the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct.

**\*\*Alleged Violations\*\*** A. The Arizona Constitution

\* Article 2, part 4- Due process of law: Denial of fair and impartial review of submitted evidence.

\* Article 2, part 11- Administration of Justice: Failure to ensure justice is administered without denial or delay.

\* Article 2, part 13- Equal Privileges and Immunities: Unequal treatment and arbitrary denial of legal rights.

\* Article 6, part 26: Judicial Conduct: Conduct inconsistent with promoting confidence in the judiciary's integrity and impartiality.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

**B. Violations of Arizona Revised Statutes (A.R.S.)**

- \* A.R.S. part 12-409- Disqualification of Judicial Officer: Acting despite bias and prejudice.
- \* A.R.S. part 12-119.04- Judicial Misconduct Reporting: Conduct violating judicial standards of impartiality.
- \* A.R.S. part 13-2310- Fraudulent Schemes and Artifices: Making fraudulent statements in an official judicial decision.
- \* A.R.S. part 13-2702- Perjury: Knowingly making false statements under penalty of perjury.
- \* A.R.S. part 38-443- Unlawful Acts by Public Officers: Using official position to deprive another of legal rights.

**C. Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct (Supreme Court Rule 81).**

- \* Canon 1- Upholding the Integrity and Independence of the Judiciary
  - \* Rule 1.1: Failure to comply with the law and constitutional obligations.
  - \* Rule 1.2: Failure to act in a manner that promotes public confidence in the judiciary's integrity and impartiality.
- \* Canon 2- Performing the Duties of Judicial Office Impartially, Competently, and Diligently
  - \* Rule 2.2: Failure to uphold and apply law impartially.
  - \* Rule 2.3(A): Conduct displaying bias or prejudice.
  - \* Rule 2.5(A): Failure to perform judicial duties competently and diligently.
  - \* Rule 2.6(A): Denying a party the right to be heard according to law.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**