

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-577

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 3, 2026

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace removed Complainant's service animal and delayed her ruling.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 3, 2026.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Complaint against judge

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Subject: Complaint against judge

Complaint Against Judge

To: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

Subject: Judicial Misconduct Complaint – Judge _____, _____ County _____ Court

Dear Members of the Commission,

I am writing to formally file a complaint against Judge _____ for multiple instances of judicial misconduct and failure to impartially apply the law in proceedings related to my criminal case in _____ County _____ Court, stemming from the seizure of my animals on _____, and my subsequent arrest.

Judge _____ presided over hearings in which the plaintiffs—representatives from _____ (_____) and the _____ County Sheriff's Department—were caught perjuring themselves under oath on both _____ and _____. Despite clear, documented evidence of conflicting statements and material falsehoods regarding the timing of my arrest and the conditions of my animals, Judge _____ refused to allow perjury charges, refused to dismiss the case, and failed to take judicial notice of prosecutorial misconduct.

She delayed her decision for an unreasonable length of time, only issuing a vague and legally insufficient ruling stating that my service dog, _____, was in “distress” due to lack of potable water and sanitation—without any credible or substantiated evidence. These claims were refuted by veterinary records, affidavits, and physical evidence I submitted to the court. Her refusal to order the return of my ADA-recognized service dog, despite evidence of prosecutorial and investigative misconduct, constitutes an ongoing violation of my civil rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA).

This court has allowed my service dog to be unjustly held for nearly _____ years, while I endure extreme emotional distress, grief, and trauma as a result. The judge’s continued refusal to intervene in the face of official perjury, destruction of evidence, Brady violations, and cruelty to animals in custody rises to the level of gross negligence and judicial bias.

I respectfully request an investigation into Judge _____ conduct for violation of judicial canons, failure to ensure fair application of the law, and allowing material injustice to continue unchecked.

Sincerely,

Independent Candidate for U.S. president (_____ , AZ)

SUBSTACK: