

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-578

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 3, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court pro tem judge improperly ordered a search warrant.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 3, 2026.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Subject: Complaint against judge

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Subject: Complaint against Judge

Complaint Against the Judge

To: Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct

Subject: Judicial Misconduct Complaint – Search Warrant Issuance Without Proper Probable Cause

Dear Members of the Commission,

I write to file a formal complaint against the unidentified _____ County judge who authorized a search warrant on or before _____, at the request of Det. _____ and the County Sheriff's Department.

This warrant was issued without sufficient probable cause, based on false statements from a malicious caller in _____ and her niece, who called law enforcement with demonstrably, easily provable false reports. The application included fabricated claims about my dog condition—initially stating he was “full of maggots,” when records later showed only one small creature was removed. They also falsely claimed I owned a pig and “many cats,” which is patently untrue.

The issuing judge rubber-stamped the warrant despite:

The illegibility of the signature and absence of a judicial stamp, raising serious concerns about authentication.

Inclusion of false claims that should have been obviously questionable to a reasonable jurist.

A blanket seizure order that lacked particularity and specificity, leading to the illegal confiscation of my incubators, therapy birds, and personal property unrelated to the allegations.

I was arrested before the warrant was even executed, forcibly shoved into a patrol vehicle, and transported to jail without being given meaningful access to the warrant, and tortured in a restraint chair because judge _____ failed to uphold the US Constitution. The Sheriff's

Department later fabricated evidence of animal cruelty, while simultaneously failing to produce body camera footage of the seizure—suggesting a deliberate Brady violation.

I respectfully request the Commission investigate whether this judge abdicated their duty to protect constitutional rights, and whether the issuance of this defective warrant constitutes gross judicial misconduct, facilitating the illegal seizure of animals, mass animal cruelty and destruction of private property based on falsified evidence.

Sincerely,

Independent Candidate for President (, AZ)