

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-579

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 11, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to follow the rules of procedure by prematurely dismissing her motion.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Colleen E. Concannon and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 11, 2026.

Comp

25-579

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re: CJC Complaint - Hon.

Subject: Supplemental Complaint Submission – Judge [redacted] Backdated Dismissal of Rule 60 Motion in Violation of Rule 7.1(a)(3) Dear Commission Staff, I am writing to supplement my pending complaint

Subject: Supplemental Complaint Submission – Judge [redacted] Backdated Dismissal of Rule 60 Motion in Violation of Rule 7.1(a)(3)

Dear Commission Staff,

I am writing to supplement my pending complaint regarding Judge [redacted] with new information that further evidences procedural misconduct and judicial entanglement.

On [redacted], I filed a Motion to Vacate Judgment under Rule 60(b) and 60(d)(3), citing fraud on the court and misappropriation of federally protected elder assets. On [redacted], I discovered that Judge [redacted] had issued an order dismissing the motion—but the order was backdated to [redacted] 7, just [redacted] days after the motion was filed and before the response and reply deadlines under Rule 7.1(a)(3) had elapsed. This premature dismissal violated Rule 7.1, which requires that motions be fully briefed before a ruling is issued. The court did not allow opposing counsel's response or my reply to be considered, nor did it rule on my separate request to file a Notice of Errata and Correction containing material evidence of elder exploitation. Additionally, because the order was backdate a week, I never received a hard copy of the dismissal order by mail, as required by court procedure. Opposing counsel confirmed not receiving a copy of the order as well.

If the court recalls, Judge [redacted] became a material witness in the case when a third party reported him to [redacted], citing him as the alleged perpetrator in the exploitation of federally protected elder IRA assets. Despite this conflict of interest, Judge [redacted] refused to recuse himself in violation of Rule 2.11 of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, which mandates disqualification when a judge has personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts or is likely to be a material witness. He has now dismissed the very Motion to Vacate Judgment that centers on the elder exploitation he was named in—without allowing full briefing or ruling on the correction request.

Further compounding the procedural irregularities, the defendants attempted to file a Response to the Motion on [redacted]—one full week after the dismissal order was allegedly entered. This confirms that the court ruled prematurely and that opposing counsel was unaware of the dismissal at the time of filing, reinforcing the appearance of backdating and suppression.

This sequence reflects an intentional effort to suppress documentation of federal elder fraud and obstruct the appellate record. I respectfully request that this information be added to my complaint.

Sincerely,

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re: CJC Complaint - Hon.

Caution: This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Subject: Supplemental Complaint Submission – Judge Napper’s Backdated Dismissal of Rule 60 Motion in Violation of Rule 7.1(a)(3)

Dear Commission Staff,

I am writing to supplement my pending complaint regarding Judge Napper with new information that further evidences procedural misconduct and judicial entanglement.

On [REDACTED], I filed a Motion to Vacate Judgment under Rule 60(b) and 60(d)(3), citing fraud on the court and misappropriation of federally protected elder assets. On [REDACTED], I discovered that Judge [REDACTED] had issued an order dismissing the motion—but the order was backdated to November 7, just four days after the motion was filed and before the response and reply deadlines under Rule 7.1(a)(3) had elapsed. This premature dismissal violated Rule 7.1, which requires that motions be fully briefed before a ruling is issued. The court did not allow opposing counsel’s response or my reply to be considered, nor did it rule on my separate request to file a Notice of Errata and Correction containing material evidence of elder exploitation. Additionally, because the order was backdate a week, I never received a hard copy of the dismissal order by mail, as required by court procedure. Opposing counsel confirmed not receiving a copy of the order as well.

If the court recalls, Judge [REDACTED] became a material witness in the case when a third party reported him to [REDACTED], citing him as the alleged perpetrator in the exploitation of federally protected elder IRA assets. Despite this conflict of interest, Judge [REDACTED] refused to recuse himself in violation of Rule 2.11 of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, which mandates disqualification when a judge has personal knowledge of disputed evidentiary facts or is likely to be a material witness. He has now dismissed the very Motion to Vacate Judgment that centers on the elder exploitation he was named in—without allowing full briefing or ruling on the correction request.

Further compounding the procedural irregularities, the defendants attempted to file a Response to the Motion on [REDACTED]—one full week after the dismissal order was allegedly entered. This confirms that the court ruled prematurely and that opposing counsel was unaware of the dismissal at the time of filing, reinforcing the appearance of backdating and suppression.

This sequence reflects an intentional effort to suppress documentation of federal elder fraud and obstruct the appellate record. I respectfully request that this information be added to my complaint.

Sincerely,

On Tue, Nov 4, 2025 at 12:03 PM Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov> wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email.

We will add this information to your complaint against Judge [REDACTED] in Case No. [REDACTED]. Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re: CJC Complaint - Hon.

Subject: Supplemental Notice Regarding Pending Complaint – Filing of New Complaint Due to Federal Violations

Dear Commission Staff,

I am writing to formally supplement my pending complaint currently under review by the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct. While that complaint remains unresolved, I am compelled to notify the Commission of newly uncovered and materially significant misconduct involving elder financial exploitation, fiduciary fraud, and violations of federal tax law—all of which occurred under the concealed oversight of Judge [REDACTED]. Of utmost concern is that Judge [REDACTED] not only enabled and concealed elder crimes committed against my late mother, but he actively constructed a judicial paper trail designed to falsely implicate me—a named beneficiary—as the perpetrator. I recognize that the Commission has taken no meaningful action to address this misconduct, and given the escalating danger, I have reported these matters to other authorities for my own safety and protection.

As the Commission is aware, in [REDACTED] Judge [REDACTED] dismissed my Petition for neutral Guardianship and Conservatorship for my late mother. The case was then left open and ongoing exploitation and fraud ensued in [REDACTED]. These were exploitive transactions in the millions of dollars.

Verified financial records and court filings document the creation of an Irrevocable Trust on [REDACTED] by my late mother’s fiduciaries. The trust’s Schedule A explicitly listed approximately [REDACTED] in IRA assets as trust property. Despite this declaration, the [REDACTED] Co-Trustee—who also served as the Trust’s CPA—failed to notify the IRS of any constructive transfer and allowed the IRAs to remain individually titled in my mother’s name until [REDACTED]. In [REDACTED] while my mother was declared legally incapacitated, a new Sole Trustee and Trust CPA were appointed. Yet the Schedule A declaration and the trust’s asserted ownership of the IRAs remained concealed from the IRS.

On [REDACTED] my mother’s probate attorney, [REDACTED] filed a Notice of Withdrawal in which he changed her legal status from “Vulnerable Adult” to “Deceased.” On [REDACTED] Judge [REDACTED] signed an Order that also referred to my mother as “Deceased.” Immediately thereafter, in [REDACTED] substantial IRA assets began to be disbursed from accounts previously held in my mother’s name. The timing and nature of these disbursements suggest that the premature legal designation of death may have been used to authorize or conceal unauthorized transactions while my mother was still alive. My mother passed away on [REDACTED].

On [REDACTED] under the watch of Judge [REDACTED] the fiduciaries executed an unauthorized transfer of [REDACTED] in IRA assets into a newly created and deceptively labeled “Individual Retirement Trust.” On [REDACTED] was distributed from my mother’s ROTH into the trust. Approximately [REDACTED] remained in the original IRA account held at [REDACTED] and about [REDACTED] remained in the ROTH. An additional [REDACTED] was disbursed from another IRA in November [REDACTED].

On [REDACTED] the fiduciary disbursed [REDACTED] from the IRA, labeling the transaction as two separate “tax withholdings”—[REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. No documentation has been provided to confirm whether these funds were used to satisfy legitimate tax liabilities or were instead diverted for other purposes. The fiduciary’s handwritten Annual Report lacked the required supporting documentation owed to beneficiaries under applicable fiduciary standards.

Further compounding concerns, the fiduciaries labeled my mother’s IRA as “Individual Retirement Trust” and a newly established [REDACTED] IRA as “Individual Retirement Trust 2,” despite the legal prohibition against holding an IRA within a trust structure. These accounts are likely standard IRAs and unrelated to any valid trust entity. This mislabeling, combined with the absence of substantiating records, suggests that the disbursement was not a federal tax withholding but a potential act of misappropriation.

During my currently pending civil lawsuit before Judge [REDACTED] I issued subpoenas seeking financial and IRS records. The fiduciaries refused to comply, and Judge [REDACTED] issued orders permitting their non-compliance, thereby obstructing access to critical evidence and shielding the fiduciaries from scrutiny. Judge [REDACTED] not only obstructed evidence of criminal elder fraud and denied my mother a conservator, but he actively constructed a legal record that falsely framed me—the whistleblower and named beneficiary—as the perpetrator.

The misconduct implicates violations of IRS Code § 408(a) and may constitute tax fraud under 26 U.S. Code § 7206, given the misrepresentation of ownership and the filing of materially false IRS Form 1041 returns. I also possess evidence of exploited IRA and trust assets indicating that the fiduciaries orchestrated this scheme to gain access to the IRA funds during my mother’s lifetime, while I—her named beneficiary—was denied access to IRA accounting and oversight.

Lastly, I filed a Notice of Appeal last week, but subsequently filed a Notice to Withdraw after discovering the new evidence outlined in this email. I then filed a Motion to Set Aside Judgment under Rule 60, choosing to defer appellate review until the Rule 60 motion is adjudicated. Despite my withdrawal, the court proceeded to file the Notice of Appeal. As of yesterday, both the Notice of Appeal and the Motion to Vacate are now formally docketed.

Due to the gravity and scope of these violations—and their direct connection to judicial actions that enabled concealment—I will be filing a new and separate complaint to ensure independent review. This new filing will include supporting exhibits, accounting records, and procedural documentation that were not part of the original complaint.

Judge [REDACTED] conduct has devastated every aspect of my life. He has irreparably damaged my professional reputation, orchestrated my financial ruin, and left me in a state of prolonged vulnerability. His judicial actions facilitated material harm that could have been lethal and have resulted in lasting complications. He abused his authority over my incapacitated late mother, causing her unnecessary suffering during her final years.

It has been reported to me that Judge [REDACTED] may be a recipient of a portion of the [REDACTED] labeled as “tax withholdings”—a transaction already lacking transparency and lawful justification. If left unchecked, Judge [REDACTED] will continue to exploit his judicial position to harm vulnerable individuals for personal gain.

Please confirm receipt of this notice and advise whether the Commission prefers that I reference the original complaint number in the new submission. I remain committed to pursuing accountability through all lawful and ethical channels and appreciate your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

The supporting exhibits are extensive, so I’ve attached just a few of the accounting documents.

On [REDACTED] at [REDACTED] Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov> wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email.

We will add this information to your complaint against Judge [REDACTED] in Case [REDACTED]. Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re: CJC Complaint - Hon

I neglected to include the document, attached.

On at wrote:

Subject: Update to Judicial Conduct Complaint – Undocketed Notice of Appeal

Dear Commission,

I am writing to provide an update to my pending complaint regarding Judge . On , I submitted a request to file a Notice of Appeal. As of Wednesday, , the filing has not been docketed. The deadline to submit the Notice of Appeal is .

This delay interferes with my appellate rights and raises concern about procedural suppression. I respectfully ask that this be added to the record for review.

Sincerely,

noreply@courts.az.gov (days ago)

to

eFileAZ eService Notification of Court Documents

A participant in this case has requested that you receive eservice notification of their filing.

To retrieve the document, you must have and be logged into an eFileAZ account. If you do not have an eFileAZ account click or visit . Once you have registered in eFileAZ, return to this email and follow retrieval instructions below:

- Click on the document title listed in the Documents section below.
- You will be directed to eFileAZ where you can then view the document by clicking on the VIEW link.

E-service recipients selected for service:

Name	Email Address

Filing Information

Filing #:
Filing Time:
Filer:
Court: County - County, Arizona
Case #:
Case Style

Documents

While logged into eFileAZ, click on the document title hyperlink below. You will be taken to the eFileAZ application where you can then retrieve your document. NOTE: You will not be able to access the document without being logged into your eFileAZ account and can only gain access to the document through the hyperlink below:

Title	File
Request Leave To File Notice Of Appeal	REQUEST Leave to File Notice of Appeal and Exhibit A Proposed Notice of Appeal PDF.pdf

This is a non-monitored email. PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO THIS EMAIL. If you have any questions about this filing please contact AOC support at or call or

Thank you,
Arizona eCourt Services

On at Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov> wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email.

We will add this information to your complaint against Judge in Case . Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

From:
Sent: Thursday,
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re: CJC Complaint - Hon.

Subject: Supplement to Pending Complaint – Judge

Dear Commission,

Please add the attached document to my pending complaint regarding Judge . I am preparing to file an appeal, and Judge continues to restrict access to documents that contain evidence of fraud on the court and other acts of judicial misconduct.

The attached filing—my Request for Leave to File a Motion to Set Aside Judgment—includes copies of two of many restricted documents. These documents contain critical evidence related to the fraudulent basis of the Vexatious Litigant ruling, which is central to the appeal.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Respectfully,

On _____ at _____ Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov> wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email.

We will add this information to your complaint against Judge _____ in Case _____. Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229
Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3200

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re: CJC Complaint - Hon.

Subject: Supplement to Docketed Request to Unseal – Anticipated Jurisdictional Obstruction

Dear Commission,

I am submitting this supplement to my docketed Request to Unseal the case record, (Unsealed on _____) which is necessary to prepare for Appeal. **This submission includes additional information in anticipation of Judge _____ likely next maneuver to obstruct my civil right to appellate review.**

Specifically, I draw your attention to _____ highly irregular footnote in his Proposed Judgment dated _____, which falsely stated that I had relocated out of state and refused to notify the Court of a new address. Despite my written request for correction—and _____' initial response indicating that the footnote would be removed (email copied below) —he failed to do so. Judge _____ then supported refusal to correct the statement, even though he acknowledged it should be removed. This is documented in Judge _____ Orders Denying the Request to Correct, attached below.

Judge _____ refusal to strike a knowingly false jurisdictional statement not only exposed me to potential sanctions and default—had I not identified and formally addressed the misrepresentation prior to Final Judgment—but now appears poised to be used to obstruct my right to Appeal. **I anticipate that Judge _____ may falsely allege that I do not reside within Arizona jurisdiction, despite my documented occupancy of my _____ property and despite this being my only address and place of residence.**

As previously reported to the Commission, Judge _____ has already sealed the case record, likely in anticipation of blocking appellate review. I intend to file my Notice of Appeal within the allotted 30-day period. **Should my concern prove accurate, I will submit an additional supplement to this complaint.**

I have copied recent USPS mail regarding Arizona voting, Arizona being the state that I am registered to vote, a good indication of Arizona jurisdiction.

I also respectfully inform the Commission that I am aware that the CJC does not intervene with the Court's decisions, I am supplementing this information nonetheless for documentation purposes.

Respectfully,

To:
Cc:
Bcc:

.....
To reiterate: my new insurance was processed through the Arizona Marketplace. I have no new address, and my physical presence remains in _____. The recent Order denying my due process right to clarify residency reflects significant non-impartiality, and its connection to your footnote suggests coordinated efforts.

Thank you,

To:
Cc:

.....
If I need to file anything else, that note will be removed.

On _____ at _____ wrote:

Yes, I understand that the Commission on Judicial Conduct does not function as a court and will not intervene directly in matters involving Judge _____ conduct. Nonetheless, I will continue to report his actions —particularly preventing electronic access by the sealing of the entire record—for documentation purposes. I anticipate that the CJC will retain these submissions as part of the broader evidentiary record, should future review or oversight become appropriate.

On _____ at _____ Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov> wrote:

The Commission is in receipt of your email.

The Commission is not a court and has no authority to intervene in a pending matter. We will, however, add this information to your complaint against Judge _____ in Case _____.

Thank you.

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington, Suite 229

Phoenix, AZ 85007
602-452-3300

From:
Sent:
To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>
Subject: Re: CIC Complaint - Hon.

Subject: Urgent Notice: Sealing of Civil Case Preventing Access to Appellate Record

Dear Commission Members,

I am writing to formally notify the Commission on Judicial Conduct that Judge [redacted] has now sealed the entirety of my civil case, effectively preventing me from accessing the record necessary to prepare my appeal. This action was taken without notice, hearing, or articulated findings, and it obstructs my constitutional right to due process and appellate review.

The case involves serious allegations of judicial bias, fiduciary concealment, and procedural irregularities—including Judge [redacted] refusal to recuse under Rule 2.11 despite documented conflicts. The sealing of the record appears to be a retaliatory measure designed to shield misconduct from scrutiny and to prevent me, as a lawful beneficiary and property owner, from exercising my appellate rights.

I respectfully request that the Commission intervene regarding the circumstances under which this seal was imposed, including whether it violates Rule 123 and the public's presumptive right of access to court records. I am prepared to provide documentation of the timeline, judicial actions, and procedural posture if requested.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I remain committed to lawful and ethical advocacy, and I trust the Commission will treat this obstruction with the seriousness it warrants.

Sincerely,

I have copied below by email to the Clerk of the Court regarding this matter:

To:
Thank you.
(Please do not reply)
cc:

To:

My Case [redacted] has a signed Final Judgment by Judge [redacted] dated [redacted]. The docket is now Sealed or Restricted and I need access to the record to prepare for Appeal.

Will you please advise regarding the SEALED status of the Case [redacted]?

Thank you.

Attached are some documents that I copied showing the Sealed status as I was attempting to retrieve them. As the list grew and grew I realized that the entire record is inaccessible.

1. Can't Retrieve Document Information for case # [redacted] Docket # [redacted]
SEALED - [redacted]; Defendant Opposition in Part to Motion to Enlarge Time to Motion to Dismiss

2. Can't Retrieve Document Information for case # [redacted] Docket # [redacted]
SEALED - [redacted], Objection in Part to Motion to Withdraw

[redacted]	[redacted]	EFILING: Objection (in Part) to Motion to Withdraw
[redacted]	[redacted]	EFILING: Opposition (in Part) to Motion to Enlarge Time to Respond to Motion to Dismiss

3. Can't Retrieve Document Information for case # [redacted] Docket # [redacted]

4. Can't Retrieve Document Information for case # [redacted] Docket # [redacted]

SEALED:

[redacted]	[redacted]	EFILING: ORDER RE MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO DISMISS
[redacted]	[redacted]	EFILING: MOTION TO ENLARGE TIME TO RESPOND TO MOTION TO DISMISS

5. Can't Retrieve Document Information for case # [redacted] Docket # [redacted]
SEALED: Defendant Notice of Errata Re Current Address of [redacted] in Motion to Withdraw

570	[redacted]	EFILING: NOTICE OF ERRATA REGARDING CURRENT ADDRESS OF [redacted]	IN MOTION TO WITHDRAW
-----	------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------	---------------------------------------

6. Can't Retrieve Document Information for case # [redacted] Docket # [redacted]

[redacted]	[redacted]	EFILING: [redacted]	Proposed Order
------------	------------	-------------------------------------	--------------------------------

7. Can't Retrieve Document Information for case # [redacted] Docket # [redacted]

SEALED:

[redacted]	[redacted]	EFILING: CONTROVERTING CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL RE MOTION TO DISMISS
------------	------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

8. Can't Retrieve Document Information for case # [redacted] Docket # [redacted]

SEALED: (Plaintiff) Response to Pleading of Objection to Motion to Withdraw and to Enlarge Time to Motion to Dismiss Filed by Defendants on [redacted]. Court left this Off-Record and Plaintiff resubmitted (hand stamped) on Sept 13, 2023.

[redacted]	[redacted]	ONBASE / NOTICE OF FILING	/ NOTICE OF FILING
------------	------------	-------------------------------------------	------------------------------------

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**