

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-587

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 3, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner knew one of the attorneys, failed to address one of the parties, and loaned one of the parties' money from the Decedent's estate.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 3, 2026.

2025 - 587

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Case duration: Years Months. Events listed are Court filings over this period. These events are confirmed through County Sheriff's report and witness statements. This report is to document as others may have, this Judge, tolerance for crimes perpetrated against litigant in this case and possibly other cases before him involving child custody or domestic violence.

1) Judge had previous interactions and contact with Attorney Judge never in a hearing or court minutes disclosed to any litigants in this case any relationship with This is clear conflict of interest and is unclear how this affected his final judgement in this matter.

2) reported to the County Sheriff that may have committed a homicide against the Decedent This is in a filing to this court. Attempting to implicated anyone in a felony is a felony. Attached.

3) reported to the County coroner that moved Decedent's body directly to a mortuary without calling 911. This is in a filing to this court. Attempting to implicated anyone in a felony is a felony. Attached.

4) reported to the County Sheriff that forged a suicide noted prompting an autopsy. This is in a filing to this court. Attempting to implicated anyone in a felony is a felony. Attached.

5) submitted false documents to the County recorder's office.

6) Judge in his Final judgement, did not address these crimes stated against or against his own Court.

7) Judge loaned and stated as loans to from Decedent's estate proceeds.

1 5) [redacted] **documented alleged Crimes and Felonies in Pinal County:**

2 5)(a) [redacted] attempted to implicated [redacted], in TWO felonies ie
3 moving a dead body and a degree of homicide. In [redacted] County these acts by [redacted]
4 [redacted] are considered malicious acts and considered felonies.

5 5)(b) [redacted] attempted to change a police report two separate occasions. In [redacted]
6 County these acts by [redacted] are considered malicious acts and considered
7 felonies.

8 5)(c) [redacted] lied to the [redacted] County coroner, who is a public official. In [redacted]
9 County this act by [redacted] is malicious and considered a felony.

10 **6) Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress (IIED).** This tort applies when
11 someone's actions go beyond what is considered tolerable in a civilized society and are intended
12 to cause severe emotional harm. IIED requires extreme and outrageous conduct by the defendant,
13 done intentionally or recklessly, that causes the plaintiff severe emotional distress.

14 **6)(a) Extreme and Outrageous Conduct:**

15 *The defendant's actions must be exceptionally bad, so outrageous that an average*
16 *person would find them atrocious and intolerable.*

17 7) *In the context of Tort law: "EVIL MIND" refers to the conscious disregard of*
18 *the rights and interest of others. In a Punitive Damages case a plaintiff must demonstrate that*
19 *the defendant's conduct was outrageous, oppressive or intolerable and that it created a*
20 *substantial risk of tremendous harm. **This can be shown by evidence of spiteful***
21 ***motives, outrageous conduct or a pattern of dishonest or fraudulent behavior.***