

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-600

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

February 20, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge did not follow the law in a criminal case by failing to dismiss her case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2026.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-598

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

please see email attachments also:

ALL CASES; were agreed in writing; to be dismissed WITH PREJUDICE.  
I finished the DIVERSION in months; over year ago;  
Still the deputies swat me if I survey; or flag; or repair the road.  
The plaintiff road blockader trespasser; continues to damage survey markings; and road repairs.  
The plaintiffs violate EVERY Subdivision Ordinance.

A MOTION for TERMINATING SANCTIONS against County Courts  
FRAUD on the court; Abuse of the Legal Process;  
Abusive; Malicious; Vindictive; Prosecution

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

A MOTION for TERMINATING SANCTIONS against \_\_\_\_\_ County Courts  
FRAUD on the court; Abuse of the Legal Process;  
Abusive; Malicious; Vindictive; Prosecution

**FEDERAL RULE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE 11**

(FRCP 11) is a critical rule in U.S. federal courts designed to deter frivolous filings and curb the abuse of the judicial process. It requires attorneys or unrepresented parties to certify that any papers they present to the court have a reasonable basis in fact and law and are not filed for an improper purpose, such as HARASSMENT OR DELAY.

**CORE REQUIREMENTS (RULE 11(B))**

Under Rule 11(b), by presenting a document to the court, a party certifies that, after a reasonable inquiry, it meets several standards: The document must not be presented for an improper purpose.

Legal arguments must be warranted by existing law or a nonfrivolous argument for changing the law.

Factual contentions must have evidentiary support or likely will after further investigation.

Denials of factual contentions must be warranted or reasonably based on a lack of information.

**SANCTIONS AND PROCEDURES (RULE 11(C))**

If a violation occurs, the court can impose sanctions on the responsible party or attorney, aimed at DETERRING REPETITION. Sanctions can include nonmonetary actions, penalties paid to the court, or reasonable attorney's fees for the opposing party.

Rule 11 includes a "safe harbor" provision where a sanctions motion must be served on the opponent 21 days before filing with the court, allowing an opportunity to correct or withdraw the challenged document.

To hold the offending party accountable for:

- years of criminalizing a civil deeded Subdivision Right of Way
  - years of refusing to enforce Subdivision Ordinance Violations
  - years of criminalizing Subdivision Ordinance Violations; against the complainant victim
- filing baseless claims against the Subdivision complainant;

**USING the SILVER BULLET METHOD**

to use EVERY LEGAL ABUSE

to attempt a ; year prison sentence against the innocent victim;  
for the plaintiff's trespass; road blockades; and survey crimes.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

All judges; refused to acknowledge the trespass.  
All judges IGNORED the trespass.  
I was UNABLE TO drive the road to get home.  
The judge completely misrepresented the case.  
There never was ANY evidence of harassment;  
except AGAINST me the victim of the trespass.

THESE CASES; should never have been filed in criminal court.  
THESE CASES; should have been handled as \_\_\_\_\_ County handles trespass and road endangerments.

\_\_\_\_\_ Court criminalized AUTOMATICALLY; with no regard for their fraud.  
These judges threatened me repeatedly with prison.

The arguments of the judges were INVALID and INCOMPETENT.

The silver bullet was used against both myself and  
ONLY NARRATIVES created the charges; claims; and citations.

The courts of \_\_\_\_\_ are absurd violations of reality and justice.

FALSE CHARGES.  
CRIMINALIZING civil cases.

also please see all the attachments for this complaint

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

**Name:** \_\_\_\_\_ **Judge's Name:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The silver bullet was used against both myself and  
ONLY NARRATIVES created the charges; claims; and citations.

A year after ALL CASES; were agreed in writing to be DISMISSED with PREJUDICE;  
the cases are not dismissed.

AND the plaintiff; trespasser; road blockader; CONTINUES TO CALL THE DEPUTIES;  
to swat me if I repair the road.

ALL of this is violations of A.R.S. statutes; and CIVIL land laws.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: \_\_\_\_\_ Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

County uses "harassment" to criminalize civil cases.  
County judges enable Silver Bullet methods;  
To criminalize cases.

Many of the judges in the \_\_\_\_\_ Courts;  
use criminal accusations;  
to fund their government.

These 3 judges: \_\_\_\_\_ ;  
Mass manufacture INVALID criminal charges;  
to monetize the court system

"list of judge abuses in silver bullet method"

The "silver bullet method" is  
a manipulative tactic  
in \_\_\_\_\_ County;  
where the prosecutor and the accuser;  
make false accusations of abuse  
(such as violence or harassment)  
to immediately gain an advantage,  
such as a protective order and  
exclusive use to land owned by others.

The "abuses" often discussed in this context are  
abuses of the court process  
by the litigant and the prosecutor;  
making the false claims,  
which THE judges;  
of the \_\_\_\_\_ COURT SYSTEM  
ENABLES.

VICTIMS OF THE "SILVER BULLET METHOD" INCLUDE:  
Automatic Removal of the Resident: Courts often issue temporary protective orders with little to no immediate evidence or corroboration.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**