

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-605

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

February 20, 2026

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace should have reused herself in a civil matter and failed to follow the law in a civil case

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Colleen E. Concannon did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on February 20, 2026.

Comp

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>;

Cc:

Subject: COMPLAINT TO THE ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT Justice of Peace(s)

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Below is a formal, filing-ready Judicial Misconduct Complaint addressed to the Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct, structured so you may print, sign, notarize if desired, and submit (mail or electronic upload).

It is written strictly within the Commission's jurisdiction (ethical misconduct, failure to recuse, abuse of authority), not as a legal argument, which is critical for acceptance.

COMPLAINT TO THE

ARIZONA COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

I. COMPLAINANT INFORMATION

Name:

Address: ~

~ , [~ARIZONA][~]

Telephone:~

Email

II. JUDGES SUBJECT TO THIS COMPLAINT

1. Judge

Justice of the Peace

Justice Court

County, Arizona

2. Judge

Justice of the Peace

Justice Court

County, Arizona

III. CASES INVOLVED (FOR IDENTIFICATION)

Case No.

Division Case No.

- Justice Court — Criminal Case (Possession of a Deadly
Weapon)
- Court of Arizona, County
 - (Domesticating Article III Federal Judgment)
 - (Civil Action — Judges Named as Defendants)

IV. STATEMENT OF COMPLAINT

This complaint concerns judicial misconduct, failure to recuse, abuse of authority, and disregard for binding federal court orders by Judges _____ and _____ while acting in their official capacity as Justices of the Peace of the _____ Justice Court.

This complaint does not challenge the merits of a ruling. It challenges conduct that undermines judicial impartiality, due process, and public confidence in the judiciary.

V. FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

1. On _____, the _____ for the _____ of _____ entered a civil rights judgment, SO ORDERED, in favor of the Complainant.
2. That judgment was lawfully domesticated in the _____ Court of Arizona, _____ County, Case No. _____, entitling it to full faith and credit in all Arizona courts.
3. Multiple sworn affidavits were filed and docketed in the _____ Justice Court providing actual notice of the federal judgment and its legal effect.
4. Despite this notice, Judge _____ proceeded with actions in the justice court matter until she was named and served as a Defendant in _____, after which she removed herself from the case.
5. Judge _____, who was also served as a Defendant in the same civil action on the same day at the _____ Justice Courthouse, failed to recuse herself.
6. Judge _____ continued to preside over the criminal matter involving

the Complainant, despite:

- Being a named Defendant in a related Court case,
- Having personal knowledge of disputed facts,
- And an objectively disqualifying conflict of interest.

7.

8. Judge issued a Warrant for Arrest against the Complainant alleging failure to appear at a pretrial proceeding, despite:

- The Complainant's actual appearance at court,
- Oral confirmation by the Complainant in open court,
- A sworn Affidavit of Appearance filed by confirming transportation and attendance.

9.

10. Judge ignored the Complainant's in-court responses multiple times and proceeded to issue the warrant, which was later quashed, confirming its impropriety.

11. Judge continued presiding over the case even after the warrant error, without addressing the conflict of interest or recusal requirements.

VI. ETHICAL VIOLATIONS ALLEGED

The conduct described violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, including but not limited to:

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**