

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-616

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

April 7, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge failed to honor victim's rights in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 7, 2026.

2025-616

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [ (2 page narrative on 3 pgs) ] Judge's Name: [ ]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

1. Case — Attack Against Me at [ ] I was working as a Caregiver [ ]  
I was the victim of a violent attack by [ ] who I did not know personally - he was brought to the facility by the owner [ ] who knew his background, didn't disclose anything to me. He was then admitted to the [ ] for being unresponsive and uncooperative with EMT's at the [ ] facility on his 2nd day and during intake, then released by [ ] County ER with a positive meth screen and dropped back off at the facility where he attacked me when I was preparing dinner for all the residents. He then did. My rights were ignored before the attack, after the attack he was initially only charged with a misdemeanor where I brought up that I thought he should be charged with a Felony. I was in jury duty selection asking to be removed, and approached the bench. The judge asked the family county prosecutor / attorney, etc standing by if they had any objection and they and she did not. [ ] charges were dropped then he was recharged with a felony. The probation department recommended just reinstatement of his probation. Against my wishes [ ] agreed. [ ] went down to [ ] County in the days following this ruling and committed an armed robbery and went to prison. AZ state contacted me about his release in [ ] County did not although I was reaching out to the county advocate's in various calls and written communications that I wanted to be protected and included. To my dismay [ ] was released from [ ] County Jail without probation oversight or accountability to the crime he committed against me in [ ] and the case needs reviewed.  
Victims' Rights Violations (Arizona Constitution & Statute)  
Relevant provisions include:  
A.R.S. § 13-4405 — Right to be informed  
A.R.S. § 13-4412 to § 13-4415 — Notice of hearings, release, and key events  
A.R.S. § 13-4420 & § 13-4421 — Right to be present & heard  
A.R.S. § 13-4433(B) — Protection from intimidation  
A.R.S. § 13-4436 & § 13-4437 — Enforcement of rights  
Arizona Constitution, Art. 2, § 2.1 — Victims' Bill of Rights  
B. Probation and Judicial Procedure Concerns  
A.R.S. § 13-903(F) — Judicial treatment of probation violations  
A.R.S. § 13-924 — Mandatory revocation of earned-time credits upon violation A.R.S. § 13-901 & § 13-902  
— Authority and conditions of probation  
Potential inconsistent or improper application of judicial discretion  
C. Judicial Conduct Concerns (Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct)  
Possible violations include:  
Rule 1.1 — Compliance with law  
Rule 1.2 — Maintaining public confidence  
Rule 2.2 — Impartiality and fairness Rule 2.5 — Competence and diligence  
Rule 2.6 — Ensuring the right to be heard  
D. Equal Protection and Equal Enforcement Concerns  
Arizona Constitution, Art. II, § 13 — Equal Privileges and Immunities  
A.R.S. § 13-101 Equal application of the criminal code

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: (2 page narrative on 3 pgs) Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The offender later committed additional criminal acts and probation violations.

I was not notified of hearings in \_\_\_\_\_, probation proceedings, release decisions, or sentencing changes.

My right to be heard and provide input under victims' rights laws was not honored in \_\_\_\_\_

There appear to have been failures to revoke earned-time probation credit despite new offenses, contrary to A.R.S. § 13-903(F) and A.R.S. § 13-924 in 2025

These issues raise concerns about proper judicial exercise of discretion and compliance with statutory requirements.

\_\_\_\_\_ would have not been allowed to rule in favor of probation department not wanting to supervise \_\_\_\_\_

Probation department would have failed to notify victim of hearing although victim had been writing victim advocates office requesting for help in the month of \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_\_ to safeguard victim and to be provided her rights as a victim to be included in all proceedings so my voice could be heard.

To make this more traumatizing for the victim in the 48 hours following \_\_\_\_\_ decision to not hold \_\_\_\_\_ accountable for violating probation in 2021 where \_\_\_\_\_ went to \_\_\_\_\_ County and committed Armed Robbery on another victim, in \_\_\_\_\_ of \_\_\_\_\_ in those \_\_\_\_\_ hours following \_\_\_\_\_ illegal release from jail without supervision. \_\_\_\_\_ then committed an additional violent act on a member of law enforcement at \_\_\_\_\_ in report \_\_\_\_\_ - this is now an additional violent act including the attack on me and now the fear and intimidation I suffer knowing that justice is not being served in the case.

\_\_\_\_\_ has allowed this in addition for in \_\_\_\_\_ when the sentencing occurred on \_\_\_\_\_ the victim expressed to Judge \_\_\_\_\_ that \_\_\_\_\_ should have not been reinstated on probation to begin with in \_\_\_\_\_ due to his history of attacking members working on the frontline. 2 judges have now allowed \_\_\_\_\_ to not actually be held properly accountable for the crimes he committed against me the week covid 19 was announced and I was simply working to be a good citizen.