

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-624

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 3, 2026

The Complainant alleged a city court judge was biased and lacked legal knowledge in a protective order case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 3, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-624

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [ ]

Judge's Name: [ ]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

A pre issuance hearing that took place over hours for each hearing on the dates of [ ] with a ruling #10A

Then a second ruling ordered on [ ]

The judge understood during the entire pre issuance hearing for injunction against harassment that the Defendant had already been charged with an injunction against harassment & implemented. The defendant had not been charged and there was no previous injunction of harassment against [ ]

It was a pre issuance of injunction of harassment hearing. This caused the judge to issue a second ruling #1 Ruling states Plaintiff's injunction of harassment against Defendant will remain in place as originally issued.

There was no injunction against harassment against the Defendant at this time. A second ruling included the injunction against harassment. The first ruling is irrelevant and was not valid. Through out the 3 pre issuance hearing the judge showed bias towards the Plaintiff lack of legal knowledge of statutes, using words that are not legal terms and overruling all objections made by defendant's attorney.

She did not accept compelling evidence and facts

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name: \_\_\_\_\_

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

introduced by the defendant's attorney that were  
proof that Plaintiff was committed pursuant  
Her order was not based on evidence.  
The extensive time of 3 separate hearings  
on a excessive causing Defendant and  
witnesses to miss 3 full days of work who  
are professionals working with students  
and patients in this community showed  
her lack of concern for defendant and  
witnesses. Judge entered dates on ruling  
which were fabricated as well as considering  
other evidence that was not considered  
in original petition. She ruled against  
freedom of speech and was ~~not~~ showed  
lack of legal knowledge of statutes considering  
evidence not allowed in time frame.  
In her rulings she used words that are not  
legal such as concerning a ~~alimony~~ ~~the~~ The  
judge showed bias to the Plaintiff  
from the beginning of the pretrial hearing.  
She allowed chaos in the courtroom.  
made comments from Plaintiff & her witnesses  
to be made to defendant & the use of  
cell phones during all 3 pre-hearings  
by Plaintiff and her witnesses

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:  Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The judge showed bias to the Plaintiff allowing the Plaintiff and her witnesses <sup>day</sup> at a pre-suit hearing testifying against the defendant and less than 1 hour of testimony for the defendant and witness.

The injunction against harassment against the Defendant issued against <sup>was used to</sup> <sup>is a retaliation</sup> <sup>girl friend</sup> <sup>was a pending</sup> <sup>Court, pre existing</sup> <sup>case in the</sup> <sup>case ~~in~~</sup> for visitation of grandparent to see their 4 year old granddaughter. This was not considered by this judge, she would not listen to this presented by harassment should have been dismissed by an experienced and competent judge at the last pre-suit hearing.

The outcome of this ruling will cause him to lose his job.

<sup>is a teacher and</sup>

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The judges # ruling was injunction  
Against Harassment against Defendant  
will remain in place  
as originally issued.

#2 Ruling was injunction Against  
Harassment Against Defendant  
to include Plaintiff's minor child  
This was not addressed in any  
of the 3 preissuance hearings.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

Esq.  
State Bar No.

TELEPHONE:  
FACSIMILE:  
EMAIL:  
Attorneys for Petitioner

COURT OF ARIZONA  
COUNTY OF

In re the Matter of:  
  
Petitioner,  
  
vs.  
  
Respondent.

Case No.:  
  
**PETITION FOR THIRD  
PARTY RIGHTS (MATERNAL  
GRANDPARENT VISITATION)  
PURSUANT TO A.R.S. §25-409 (C)**

Third Party Petitioner, (“ ”), for her Petition, pursuant to  
A.R.S. §25-409, alleges as follows:

1. **Petition.** This petition pertains to the minor child, who is  
( ) years old. is the child’s Maternal Grandmother.

2. **Parents.** The child’s parents are Petitioner, (“ ”), and  
Respondent, (“ ”). is daughter. Pursuant to  
A.R.S. §25-409 (D)(1), this Petition will be served on the parents.

3. **Same Action.** Pursuant to A.R.S. §25-409(G), this Petition is filed in the same  
action in which this Court previously decided legal decision-making and parenting time  
for the child.

4. **Jurisdiction.** This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to A.R.S.  
§25-402 (B)(2). The child permanently resides in County, Arizona.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS  
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE  
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED  
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE  
REMAINDER OF THE  
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,  
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST  
IN WRITING TO THE  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL  
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE  
THE COMMISSION CASE  
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**