

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-625

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 3, 2026

The Complainant alleged a city court judge was biased and lacked legal knowledge in a protective order case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 3, 2026.

2025-625

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: [Redacted]

Honorable Judge's Name: [Redacted]

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Hon. [Redacted] exhibited judicial misconduct due to her biases, lack of knowledge, personal feelings and beliefs, erroneous interpretations of the law, and unreasonable need to extend a pre-issuance hearing into a day one over a month's time causing an erroneous ruling overriding the facts and the law in favor of her personal interests. It is also my understanding that the narrative provided by the plaintiff was favorably indulged by the judge based upon a witnessed identification and overly sympathetic view towards the plaintiff. For instance and although initially supposed as a review of the transcripts would reveal many more ethical and procedural violations, the judge facilitated and accepted the plaintiff's open use of her cell phone during the hearings including, but not limited to the cross examination of me. Clearly she was communicating with her present boyfriend who testified he was seeking direction and help formulating questions. Burgers were rampant.

Most importantly: ★

The judge for her own purposes and reasons believed there was a pre-existing plaintiff's Injunction Against Harassment in place so her first order ruled "As a result, [there was no order] at this the judge's attitude, feelings,

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judicial conduct, personal behavior, rulings, and overall view of the defendant/me were predisposed to a guilty outcome and a predetermined one. She perjured herself in misconduct.

Howards on the judge revisited and revisited her a ruling without revisiting the evidence and testimony presented. For instance and after all she excluded my attorney's relevant proposed exhibits and objections. If time allocated is a measure one could interpret my 15 minutes or so on the stand versus 2.5 days given to the plaintiff as very alarming at best and blatant bias at worst.

Note the fact that the hearings took a month's time and created an unreasonable and unjust burden on all involved including the court. As a matter of fact the integrity and authority of The Court has been compromised. The judge has called into the doubt the veracity and truth of all her previous and future orders. I do not enjoy the task before you as my sister was on the bench for years without being overruled or troubled in a worse for your time. I have a law clerk can review the entire judicial transcript of the "trial" of the misguided proceedings.

3 Thank you, (over)

While there is not room for all my concerns the judge went beyond the scope of the petition/law by encouraging - at worst, and accepting at best, testimony, phrase use beyond reasonably required, and elements introduced to distort the ruling by the plaintiff's ex husband. He was/is/will be continuously abused and threatened with loss of time with his daughter.

Finally and as a matter of fact the judge only marginally accepted this fallacious order as a retaliation case to undermine my partner (i.e. nurse, grandmother, mother etc.)'s grandparents pre-existing case in special courts. It is currently in mediation. In short, a harassment order against me strategically undermines.

seeking the "best interests of the child (i.e. )

Grandmother and granddaughter have a mutually needed, indispensable bond built over time. I am being targeted as an outflanking move.

Tracy  


Spec. Ed. Teacher K → 5<sup>th</sup> grade, a