

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-640

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 3, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 3, 2026.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

**IN THE COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA  
IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF**

In Re the Marriage of:

No.

,  
Petitioner,

and

,  
Respondent.

**FORMAL COMPLAINT**

(Honorable )

**FORMAL COMPLAINT**

Judge has shown her dislike for me so many times it is factually evident. Even the Best Interest Attorney in this case expressed how much Judge dislikes myself. I have never missed a court date yet in the final decree it states that I did. The Respondent Has missed on at least one occasion! At one court hearing she told me that I was Divorced, and at the following court hearing she told me I was still married. She let the defendant provide evidence on the day of the final orders hearing, but would not let me submit my material witness's statement into evidence. I had no time to review the Defendants evidence as to decide whether I wanted it submitted or not. She granted the defendant joint custody even

1 though I had temporary full custody; and the defendant had recently received an Extreme DUI. Last week  
2 she refused to see my evidence regarding the Defendant in an Order of Protection hearing, instead  
3 assigning another Best Interest Attorney to the case. How many investigations do I have to go through?  
4 Last reason is the fact that she has worked for \_\_\_\_\_ which is where \_\_\_\_\_ sent me because of the  
5 Respondents accusations. Judge \_\_\_\_\_ has shown time and time again her Bias in this matter.  
6

7  
8 DATED this \_ \_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, \_\_\_\_\_.

9  
10  
11 By: /s/ \_\_\_\_\_

12 Pro Se

13  
14 ORIGINAL filed with the Court  
15 this \_<sup>h</sup>\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_,  
16 and a copy delivered/mailed\* to:

17 Honorable \_\_\_\_\_  
18 County \_\_\_\_\_ Court

19  
20  
21  
22  
23 Respondent Pro Per

---