

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-651

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

March 31, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge did not follow the law in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Joseph C. Kreamer did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 31, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-651

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

The Attached Documents
 Listing Abuse of Discretion,
 Speedy trial rights violations.
 Judge did not
 Dismiss charge per Rule 8.6
 1. minute Entry Dated . filed
 Attached As Evidence .
 2. minute Entry Dated . filed
 3. minute Entry Dated . filed
 Please Read
 Attached Documents.

The Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1500 W Washington Street Suite 209
Phoenix AZ 85007.

Judicial
Complaint
In Reference to

Probation
matters

and

Judge

I am Chief Executive officer and owner
of the State of Arizona District of
Republic Capitol

I've already advised Attorney
to extend
my title to The Judicial Officer Said Above.

Although I am totally Immuned to Arrests and Holds. This Judicial Officer Proceeded with my in Custody Confinement, also with the Court cases proceeding through his Court with violations of the Arizona Constitution and The Arizona Rules of Criminal Procedures.

1.

filed Minute Entry
page (2) state NO Information Provided
on Original last day.

So therefore, The Court Judicial officer should have moved for Dismissing The Burglary charge. According to The Arizona Rule of Criminal Procedure Rule 8.6 Denial of Speedy Trial Rights, which states. If the Court determines after excluding any applicable time periods that the time limit established by this Rule has been violated the Court must dismiss the Prosecution with or without Prejudice.

The Commission Can plainly See. Responsibilities
of the Calculations were not made.

and The Prosecutor Exceeded the time
frame to Prosecute the Charge.

The Case should not have Accepted
A plea Agreement. Especially since Calculations
would include the Accused time spent
to Convict ME of the Charge. for Sentencing
Purposes.

If it is a manifest injustice,
Judicial Officer has to Dismiss.
the Burglary charge. in connection to

Judicial Officer Also Replaced
2 Attorneys on my case.

who Requested
to the Court to Withdraw from
The case.

his twin Brother
stated to the Court He was

The Court later replaced Counsel out of the same office. Knowing it would cause a Conflict of Interest.

I Complained to the Court in Person About the cases

minute Entry also states on page 2 filed

2. The Arraignment Date was... the Arraignment Date is Not showing because on minute Entry. Commissioner

stated. if this matter Involves a Conditional Release pending A Probable cause Determination the Conditional Release is Rescinded.

As Probable cause was made, the Burglary charge was vacated previously to this date and no Court Scheduled an Arraignment hearing for

This case also Involving a string of Ineffective Assistance of Counsel.

and was not suppose to Represent me In my case matter.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**