

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 25-653

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 21, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly increased the bond on a criminal case by relying on prior bad conduct.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 21, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2025-653

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name: Commissioner

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On I was in the process of being broked in to custody. Myself and several other people were waiting to have our Initial Bond Hearings in front of Commissioner to have our bonds set. when my name was called, the proceedings initially seemed like any other Initial Bond Hearing, where people accused of having committed a crime are informed of Pre-set amount their bonds would be set at, and or release conditions to be imposed. Commissioner can clearly be heard on the record setting my bond at unsecured, then sounding unsure for some reason, immediately changing it to unsecured while still sounding unsure for some reason. Then things really went off script when Commissioner said " "and"

that's when Commissioner allowed two employees that were not assigned to prosecute in the case I was currently charged with, nor are they now, to go on the record and commit misconduct by misleading the court in the form of speaking on the record about a fully adjudicated case that they were assigned to prosecute in that ended in me being acquitted, and also a case

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name: Commissioner

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

that I was neither charged or implicated in connection with, so in essence an alleged uncharged prior bad act. Had Commissioner excersised knowledge in the law, and shown resolve by not allowing this misconduct to influence dramatically the decision she made, seemingly very easily, in determining the release conditions to be imposed, I would not subsequently be filing this complaint. Unfortunately, after this information was shared with the court, my bond went from or unsecured which Commissioner can initially be heard setting bond at, to CASH ONLY with special conditions of PSA supervision and Electronic Monitoring. Just to be clear, this act of making release conditions more difficult to meet was not because of my prior convictions. It was an action Commissioner decided to take directly due to my being acquitted in one case, and never being implicated or charged in another