

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 25-664

---

Judge:

Complainant:

---

**ORDER**

March 31, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased in a criminal case and improperly denied his request to be released from custody.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on March 31, 2026.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2025-664

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

I have filed several motions that according to the Constitution of both the United States and Arizona, the Judge should have granted some form of relief. Starting on \_\_\_\_\_ at oral arguments for Modification of Release conditions in front of Judge \_\_\_\_\_ that he denied even though the motion was legally sound. It wasn't because Judge \_\_\_\_\_ denied motion it was the justification he used to do so, and making decision before he reviewed my reply to the state's response in opposition of the motion to Modify Release conditions I believe did not enable him to make a fair, impartial, and unbiased ruling. There was also some comments at this hearing pertaining to a statement I made in my Motion to modify release conditions that Judge \_\_\_\_\_ took, I guess, offense to. The reason I say that is due to what Judge \_\_\_\_\_ said on the record in response to statement. The statement I made was that "

" and also that "

"

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:  Judge's Name:

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Instead of taking this statement according to the law under consideration Judge gave me a warning that

"

which completely contradicts two of the reasons Judge gave in his ruling when he denied motion. Those reasons were 1) weight of evidence against defendant and (2) amount of time faced if convicted, which I also believe does not take into account presumption of innocence, or nature of crime is what is supposed to be main factor Court considered while determining amount bond should be set at, amongst other factors that were in my favor the Court chose to give no consideration, like the fact that I have no failure to appears, my ties to the community having lived in my whole life, and all my family residing here Etc. Etc., I will not ramble on and on about all the factors set forth in 13-3967 (b) that were in my favor when a Judge is determining whether or not to grant relief on motion to modify release conditions for several reasons. I do not wish to be redundant to this commission by attempting to argue (see Attachments)

(4)

Motion to modify release conditions to this commission, as I clearly stated Yes to understanding the commission cannot reverse court orders or assign a new judge to a case. I am however concerned and doubtful of my ability to be granted relief in any motion I have filed, or will be filing in Judge [REDACTED] Court, thus making the possibility of having the issues I bring to the Court's attention heard and considered, regardless of how Constitutionally and lawfully sound they may be, ruled on, and by an unbiased, neutral, fair, and impartial Judge. I am writing this complaint in the hopes that by bringing this to the attention of the proper authorities it will enable me to do so moving forward. I am also in the process of Filing a Rule 81 Code of Judicial Conduct for a review of Judge [REDACTED] rulings under Canons one and two, as well as a Habeas Corpus to address my Excessive/Oppressive bond, so this complaint is not an attempt to gain relief, but to bring awareness of the conduct of a Judge so that I have the possibility to a fair trial, and lawfully sound rulings on Motions filed during Pre-Trial process. I dare say this Commission, any Court, or [REDACTED] would be unable to find a similarly situated defendant, or similarly situated Co-Defendant, with a bond amount I have currently, and/or that was set under the same circumstances (Please refer to Initial Bond Hearing on [REDACTED] in front of Commissioner [REDACTED] who I also recently submitted a Judicial Complaint against to this same Commission.)

⑤

There is another ruling by Judge [redacted] on a motion to Disqualify [redacted] from further prosecution due to misconduct and/or mere appearance of impropriety. Judge [redacted] has not, and did not rule within the normal timelines on this motion, nor on the Motion to modify release conditions, and has yet to rule on an Motion to Dismiss/Remand I filed over two months ago. Or if the court has ruled, it has chosen consistently not to inform me by Minute Entry of rulings which presents a problem due to my currently being Pro-Per status representing myself. This is causing unnecessary judicial delay, and may end up causing issue that would lead to appeal, which I believe judges specifically have a duty to try to avoid. The time from when my motions are being filed, to his ruling are causing an issue with my right to Appeal his ruling to higher court, and this case continuing to some kind of resolution. The reasoning stated in denial of Judge [redacted] ruling in Motion to Disqualify [redacted] was "No Legal Basis", but I clearly stated the misconduct or mere appearance of impropriety by [redacted] employees [redacted] and [redacted] at Initial Bond Hearing on [redacted] in front of Commissioner [redacted], and four factors set forth in *Gomez v Superior Court*, 149 Ariz. 223, 717 P.2d 902 (1986) when determining whether or not to disqualify prosecuting attorney were the legal basis. I do not exactly know what a Judicial Complaint does to help in the situation I am currently in, but it does make me fear the possibility of retaliation if Judge [redacted] finds out I filed complaint since I still will be in front of Judge

⑥

[REDACTED]. The only consolation I am taking is that it probably can get no worse than it has been going before Judge [REDACTED]. I still hope that this Judicial Complaint remains confidential as stated on each page of form.

Sincerely

[REDACTED]