

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-005

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 21, 2026

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace failed to follow the law in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 21, 2026.

Comd

2026-005

RE: Complaint Against Judge

Please find enclosed a filed copy of my Proper motion to dismiss or QUASH Indictments with attached exhibits proving that the Dishonorable Judge has committed A felony by defrauding me and the Court and conspiring to unlawfully imprison me.

Under the ARIZONA Constitution I Am hereby invoking my Victim's Rights and request CRIMINAL Charges be immediately brought against this Corrupt Judge.

Thank you in Advance!

Sincerely:
AKA

IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA IN AND FOR
THE COUNTY OF _____

STATE OF ARIZONA,
Plaintiff,
- VS -

} NO. _____

AKA

} Defendant

} MOTION TO DISMISS
OR QUASH INDICTMENTS

} ASSIGNED TO: Judge _____

Comes now

IN PRO PER

Herby moving this Honorable Court to dismiss
or QUASH the Above Captioned Cases with
prejudice for the REASONS set forth in
the Accompanying memorandum and points
of Authorities.

Additionally defendant hereby fires his
Attorney due to his ignorance
of the law, lying to defendant and theft
of my property. has in fact acted
in concert with a corrupt County Attorney
and conspired to obtain an unlawful conviction.

Respectfully Submitted this _____ day
of _____

BY: _____

Memorandum of Points And Authorities

Facts: on Defendant was arrested and charged with ARS 13-2008 Taking identity of another see Attached Exhibit (A). proof that Judge engaged in fraud and/or conspired with to commit fraud upon defendant and this Court, AS exhibit (A) specifically states my next court date would be on for a preliminary hearing.

Such fraud constitutes a violation of ARS § 13-2311 Fraudulent Schemes and practices; wilful concealment; Classification (A) Notwithstanding any provision of the law to the contrary, in any matter related to the business conducted by any department or agency of this state or any political subdivision thereof, any person who, pursuant to a scheme or artifice to defraud or deceive, knowingly falsifies, conceals or covers up a material fact by any trick, scheme or device or makes or uses any false writing or document knowing such writing or document contains any false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or entry is guilty of a Class (5) Felony. A Class (2) Felony under ARS § 13-2310

Defendant has not waived his preliminary hearing nor given any Judge, lawyer, or prosecutor the right to waive it.

Exhibit(b) contains TWO Indictments NO's
And both of which are
dated proving Exhibit (A)
was in fact and law ^{was} used as a scheme and/or
A device used to deceive defendant to believe
his next court date was for his preliminary hearing
which allowed the state to sneak behind my
back and unlawfully obtain an Indictment ^{and} or
to deprive defendant of his right to write a
Trebus letter, see: Trebus 189 Ariz. at 623, 625
P. 11; See Also Black v. Coker, cited in Part "IN
Black we acknowledge that an "unequivocal offer
by a defendant to appear before the grand jury is
distinct from any other proposed evidence".
(Emphasis is Added)

In this case through trickery and/or deceit
defendant was not only deprived of his Right's to
present exculpatory evidence, he was denied
the opportunity to even request such by the
Artifice contained in Exhibit (A) misleading
and defrauding him into the belief that his
next court date was set for a preliminary
hearing. NO where in the Rules of Criminal
Pro.

does it state that the State may acquire an indictment after a case is set for a preliminary hearing.

Exculpatory Evidence

Facts: IN - or - defendant was charged with possession of drugs as well as numerous other charges out of , Defendant signed a plea with the State agreeing no other charges would be forthcoming in the State of Arizona, Defendant expressed to the sentencing Judge his desire to take care of all his pending criminal charges here and in , Defendant also informed his Attorney and sentencing Judge he would file the (IAO) Inner State Agreement on Detainers, while incarcerated in Arizona State Prison, I was sentenced to years. Defendant filed the IAO while at Prison and was extradited to to take care of those charges then returned to Arizona. It should be noted that Defendant and his Attorney both informed the Court in

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**