

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-020

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 2, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner improperly denied a motion to modify a protection order.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Michael J. Brown, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 2, 2026.

From:

Sent:

To: Commission on Judicial Conduct <CommissionJudicialCo@courts.az.gov>

Cc:

Subject: Formal Complaint – Pattern of Judicial Misconduct, Conflicts of Interest, and Retaliatory Abuse in County Superior Court

Caution! This message was sent from outside your organization.

[Allow sender](#) | [Block sender](#)

Complainant:

Email:

To Whom It May Concern,

Good Morning, below is a detailed complaint demonstrating a Pattern of Judicial Misconduct, Conflicts of Interest, and Retaliatory Abuse in County Court. Every Accusation listed below is supported by indisputable evidence that is immediately available upon your request. In order to maintain a transparent record this entire timeline has already been shared in full detail with the Department (Report #). Thank you for your time and consideration.

I. Timeline of Misconduct

- : Judge issues an Order of Protection without granting

exclusive use of the property. The home sat vacant for over months due to court obstruction.

- : I entered my own vacant property with a sheriff stand-by. I was cited days later.
 - : I was cited for OOP violation despite being accompanied by law enforcement.
 - : I filed a §1983 complaint in federal court.
 - : became the subject of a investigation.
 - : I was arrested on over felony charges. All were ultimately dismissed by .
 - : Court mandate vacated the OOP.
 - : entered a “ ” order in direct conflict with the mandate.
 - : Following my judicial change motion, recused from the OOP. Judge assumed jurisdiction.
 - : I filed a motion to modify the OOP citing fraud, changed conditions, and new evidence.
 - : denied the motion. I filed a second appeal on .
 - **Ongoing:** My property, parenting time, and due process remain obstructed.
-

II. Judicial Officers and Roles

- **Judge**

- Failed to assign exclusive property use, leaving home vacant and weaponizing the OOP.
- Ignored mandate and maintained the OOP post-remand.
- Recused from related cases for “ ” but remained on OOP matter.
- Serves as Co-Master of the which governs irrigation rights tied to my land—an undisclosed conflict.

- **Judge**

- As presiding judge, assigned or oversaw case reassignments.
- Also serves as Co-Master of the .
- Never disclosed conflict with the board despite case involvement involving land and water rights.

- **Commissioner**

- Assumed OOP case after recusal.
- Denied motion to modify despite overwhelming evidence and a vacated OOP mandate.

- **Judge**

- Issued over rulings in a single day, including denial of OOP modification.
- Stated she had “ ” after I presented evidence of a false death declaration submitted under oath.
- Abruptly announced early retirement in .

- **Judge**

- Dismissed my civil case shortly before being publicly exposed in a scandal (in public, Nationally covered).
- I had filed an appeal of her ruling days before the incident occurred.
- Her actions contributed to the displacement of my family, as we were evicted from the RV park based on a "Bait and Switch" arrest while attempting to report child abuse, criminal charges that were later dismissed.

III. Supporting Evidence (available upon request)

I have extensive documentation including:

- Signed rules showing and as co-masters
- Felony and misdemeanor dismissals
- Video of court staff coordinating to block filings
- correspondence confirming false payment claims
- Appeal notices and federal court filings
- Transcripts and orders showing contradictions and misconduct

IV. Request for Action

This is a systemic abuse of judicial authority involving multiple actors with undisclosed personal and professional conflicts, resulting in retaliation, denial of constitutional rights, and obstruction of justice.

I request:

1. Formal investigation into each named judge's conduct
2. Review of undisclosed conflicts involving governance
3. Emergency review of judicial interference in my pending OOP appeal

V. Federal Reporting and Oversight

On , I submitted a formal complaint to the U.S. Department (Report #). That report outlines the same pattern of judicial misconduct, retaliation, and conflicts of interest now before the Commission. I included details of the Arizona court actors named in this CJC filing and emphasized the systemic deprivation of civil rights under color of law.

This federal escalation was necessary due to continued obstruction of justice and failure of internal state-level accountability mechanisms. I urge the Commission to coordinate its review with ongoing federal oversight and take appropriate disciplinary action.

Please acknowledge receipt. I am prepared to provide complete documentation and sworn statements to support every claim made herein.

Sincerely,

/s/:

, AZ