

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-025

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 2, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings and failed to recuse herself when the judge was part of the litigation in a civil case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Michael J. Brown, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 2, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
 Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2026-025

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

On _____, Judge _____ violated law invoked by _____ in ARS §12-408 Change Venue When County Is Opposing Party. As Denied this right to an Impartial Tribunal by Order _____ By State Legislatures language, as noted in annotated ARS statutes, "The right is MANDATORY, and a court judge has No Discretion To Deny This right by law."

On _____, Judge _____ Violated _____ right to have a hearing on his filed "Motion For Temporary Restraining Order, Preliminary Injunction, Ariz. Civil Rule 65. The Judge Denied his right to A Mandatory "Show Cause Hearing"; Failed to provide Any Conclusions of Law or Finding of Facts, Pursuant To Rule 65, and Civil Rule 52. "No Discretion For A Judge To Violate Rule 52."

This violation caused the continuation of "Unlawful Confinement," in violation of 18 USC §1201, because the Rule 65 was invoked to Grant Emergency Relief Due To Being Falsely Imprisoned Due To the Denied Access to the Court; Denied the issuance of Civil cause Numbers to properly filed Ariz. Civil P Civil Action Rule. "Set Aside A Judgment" filed

"Motion For Arrest of Judgment And Order For Release" civil action filed _____; Filed on _____ "Motion Invoking Civil Rule 55 Default Judgment"; "Motion To Compel Court to Issue Rule 55 Default Judgment";

"Motion To Compel Rule 58 Entering Judgment in Default."

CONFIDENTIAL

State of Arizona
Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

As Judge Knew that No Judge had ever Ruled
on these Six Civil Actions, and All Respondents Failed to File
Any Response to Deny or Defend, and Under Civil Rule 8, This is
an Admission of Reversible Error on Respondents Part.

In Violation of Law, Judge Knowingly Concealed
this Fact, and continued to "Dismiss" entire
case by Colluding with the State and County Attorneys in
this case. Violated Rule 2.11 Code of Judicial Conduct
"Disqualification" by making Perjured Testimony, Fraudulent
statements in her Rulings In Order To Protect her (Judge
) by trying to Conceal and Cover-Up the Structural
Errors made by Judge in originating
case (See Faretti Violation,

Unequivocal Right and Request To Proceed To Trial as
a Pro-Per Defendant, on at Plea Hearing.)
This critical transcript was concealed and Suppressed for (8)
eight years. As Denied over twenty Motions for this Plea
Hearing Transcript. All Denied By Judge herself.
This transcript was finally Obtained by paying Out-of-
pocket from this Indigent Defendant, in which the court
already granted "All Transcripts To Be Paid For By County
Expense" by ORDER. (See Exhibit

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

On _____, Judge _____ made Perjured Testimony and Fraud Upon The Court, by stating in her Ruling "_____

"_____ "End page 6
On Page 2, order Falsely States "On _____, Defen-
dant _____ County filed their separate Motion To Dismiss.
"

FACT: As the Court's Own Index of Record establishes, the clerk filed and received all of _____ filing in one manilla Large Envelope, By USPS Tracking Number _____ at cost of _____, which by weight is for over 150 Pages of Documents, and "Opposition-Response To Stake Defendant's Motion To Dismiss;" and a Separate "Response To _____ County's Motion To Dismiss;" Affidavit and Statement of Facts Invoking Civil Rule 56(d) Relief and For EXPEDITED HEARING; By Law, Required to be held Within 7 Days from Request." - Judge _____ has Failed to hold this Mandatory Hearing - by Violation of 18 USC §1503 Obstruction of Due Administration of Justice and Law "As Certificate of Service establishes as filed by Civil Rule 5d

on (5.1 Unincarcerated Parties Filings) see docket
Unlawful Act, by Ruling that, On Page 7, The Court has
No Response From Plaintiff, To Defendant States'

Motion To Strike Plaintiff's Motion ^{and} FOR LEAVE TO FILE
A RESPONSE AND REPLY IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS."

(See attached Stamped as Filed by the Clerk of the Court
"Motion For Summary Judgment Rule 56" Plaintiff's Responses)

Unlawful Perjury, Fraud to obstruct Justice; A Financial crime under Federal Fraud Statutes by stating on page 7
under "Defendant State's Response"

"The Court CanNOT LOCATE ANY MOTION Wherein
Plaintiff Asks This Court To Enter Judgment Pursuant
to Rule 58. Court takes No Action." end Quote of

By All Above Judicial Misconduct, and Violations
of Felony Criminal Laws. This Is A DISGRACE TO THE
BENCH, and causes total loss of Confidence In the
Arizona Judiciary. Caused FRAUD IN Million Dollar Suit.

As the clerk of the Court has stamped as filed
this Document, as dated filed on

If this judge does Not have capacity to view the
Court's Own Index of Record No. 70 "Clearly
Shows "Motion Invoking Ariz R Civ P Rule 58 Entering
Judgment" filed. "Shows Proof of Violations."

This Body of officials MUST HOLD THIS PERSON
ACCOUNTABLE and Investigate these crimes and refer
all evidence to the U.S. Attorney for District of Ariz.

This officer a party in the civil action was presented a "Notice of Claim" at office address, as a Government Entity, properly served by USPS mail, and Filed By the Clerk of the Court, as established Fact, On Index of Record, No. 30 "Notice of Claim" to a court officer, filed

..... had received a Minute Entry Order from Judge
, on filed in court, in which
stated as an Acting Civil Judge"

"end Quote

On Two Days Later, plaintiff filed his formal "Motion For Recusal By County Court By Law." As the First Page of his CIVIL COMPLAINT INVOKES THE LAW §12-408 Change Venue When County Is opposing Party, and "Demand For Jury Trial" Az. Const. Art II §23.

Violated All the Court Law as Ruled in "Tumey V. Ohio, Set. 273, U.S. 500," General Rule 15 All officials acting in a Judicial Capacity Are DISQUALIFIED BY THEIR INTEREST IN THE CONTROVERSY BEFORE THEM. U.S. Supreme Court.

And She Violated C.J.C. Rule 2.11 "All officers of a party and named parties are Disqualified from hearing

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**