

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 2026-050

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 5, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge made improper rulings pertaining to a search warrant/civil forfeiture.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Joseph C. Kreamer, Regina L. Nassen, and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 5, 2026.

Comp

2026-050

Executive Director
Commission on Judicial Conduct
Via Certified Mail

Re: Your letter dated _____ and Change of Venue to _____ County
Superior Court

Executive Director _____, and Commission Members;

I recently received your letter dated _____. In your letter you state:

“

”.

I disagree with your findings. “_____, the Clerk’s letter dated _____,
_____, was nonexistent. For _____ years Prosecutors and the _____ County
Court have insisted that a triggering affidavit was filed on _____,

The Clerk’s letter dated _____, changes that long held belief.

CHANGE OF VENUE

On _____, Judge _____ County _____ Court, issued
a minute entry informing **DEFENDANT** _____ that “_____,
_____”;

(EXHIBIT 1).

On _____, I posted the required amount with the Clerk,
County _____ Court.

I anticipate that the _____ County _____ Court, and the Clerk, _____ County, will
acknowledge the following judicial facts.

1. The evidence indexed in _____ was first filed with the Clerk,
County _____ Court.

2. did not contain any evidence suggesting that on
 , I engaged in an incriminating telephone call with
 , and subsequently transported to
3. The County Court accepted a plea agreement prepared by the
 Arizona Office finding criminally
 culpable for drug offenses between and
4. Evidence indexed in did not support prosecutors' claims that
 I was a criminal enterprise member between .
5. The County Court will conclude the evidence filed in
 did not meet the requirements outlined in *Arizona Revised Statutes*
*§ 13-4305, (E)1-3*¹: (1). Conduct occurred but was limited to 90 days, (2).
properties were [not] acquired during the 90 days², or after that period - and
(3) prosecutors had tax records and building records obtained from the
 Department that demonstrated a legal source of
revenue for the purchases of the properties seized, (**EXHIBIT 2**).

Prosecutors knew by that the criminal investigation did not warrant
the seizure of properties; therefore, they concealed their lack of evidence by
moving the civil forfeiture proceedings to Once filed
was sealed without legal justification or a court order.

JUDGE

The Clerk's letter dated warrants the reexamination of my
complaint.

On and , I requested an evidentiary hearing and
requested to have unsealed.

¹ Updated to *A.R.S. 13-4305 (D) (1-3)*.

² All seized properties were acquired on or before .

I made this request as _____ had been sealed for approximately months without a court order or justification, and the underlying criminal case had concluded³. Judge _____ declined both requests.

QUESTION

What overriding interest existed on _____, that required Judge _____ to deny my request to unseal _____

I argue that Judge _____ knew if he acknowledged that a triggering affidavit was not timely filed, he would have been obligated to release all properties.

As you now know, the Clerk's letter confirms my belief that a triggering affidavit was not filed when I made my _____, request to unseal.

At this point, _____ County _____ Court is the proper venue as the _____ County _____ Court has ignored all evidence submitted throughout the years.

The impact of the Clerk's _____, letter cannot be ignored. It would be a conflict of interest to continue the proceedings with _____ County as too many Judges have ignored evidence.

It should not have taken _____ years to verify what I reported to Judge _____ was accurate. It is an insult that after _____ years I am still considered as **DEFENDANT**

Being identified as a Defendant has caused me financial harm as I am not able to re-qualify for my construction licenses that have existed prior to being falsely labelled a member of a criminal enterprise.

Executive Director _____, as a former public defender, you know the impact of the Clerk's letter and consequences. I am returning the original complaint with 10 copies for each member.

³To facilitate the request, I provided Judge _____ with a copy of the case history for _____, and a plea agreement.

I will mail this letter to each commission member separately. The letters must not be interfered with. As you know it is a federal violation to obstruct the delivery, or obstruct correspondence, (18 U.S.C. § 1701) (18 U.S.C. § 1702).

Attached is a pleading submitted on

Copies mailed to :

Governor
Governor of Arizona

The Honorable
Senator
Arizona State Senate

County Board of Supervisors

Chief Justice
Building

COURT OF ARIZONA
COUNTY

HONORABLE

CLERK OF THE COURT

Deputy

STATE OF ARIZONA, et al.

v.

MINUTE ENTRY

The Court has received and reviewed the filing entitled *Change of Venue Supported by Affidavit, and Notice of Missing Minute Entry, Dated* filed
, as well as subsequent filings related thereto, filed , and
, entitled Judicial Notice and Judicial Note, respectively. Cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED staying the Change of Venue application until Defendant
has posted a bond with the Clerk of the Court for County, as
required by A.R.S. § 12-406(C), avowing that he will pay all costs adjudged against him if the
application is granted. The Court anticipates granting a change of venue to County
Court if the bond is posted.

As the Judicial Notice and Judicial Note filings address matters entirely within the
discretion of the Clerk of the County Court, an independent, elected official,

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Court will take no further action on those filings.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**