

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 2026-054

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 5, 2026

The Complainant alleged a municipal court judge was biased and conspired against Complainant in unrelated cases, by denying the right to be heard as a victim in a criminal case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 5, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2026-054

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Honorable Judge

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

While Judge _____ was the judge whom presided over complainant's criminal trial in _____ of _____ and currently presides over the PCR proceedings in that same case under _____ Municipal Court Case _____, complainant alleges she fails to follow and apply Arizona Constitutional law and as such complainant alleges that Judge _____ has displayed by clear and convincing evidence prejudice toward the complainant by and through a separate unrelated matter in _____ Municipal Court Case _____ Complainant alleges that Judge _____ has been secretly conspiring against the complainant in _____ Municipal Court Case _____ through collusive tactics with the State of Arizona by and through the same assigned prosecutor _____ the Victim Services division of the prosecuting attorneys office, and _____ by her failure to allow complainant to exercise his Constitutional rights afforded by the Arizona Constitution in _____ Municipal Court Case _____ under Article II Section 2.1(A)(4) of the Victim's Bill of Rights. Complainant had made explicitly clear that he wished to address the court at the _____ change of plea hearing in the matter of _____ v THE STATE OF ARIZONA in accordance with Article II Section 2.1(A)(4) of the Victim's Bill of Rights under the Arizona Constitution. Complainant believes he can clearly establish this misconduct based on communication between himself and _____ of the Victim Services division of the prosecuting attorneys office.

The reason complainant is so aggrieved is because complainant has also brought to recently appointed PCR counsel this abuse of process due to the fact that these rights are not discretionary and are in fact mandatory. This also ties to a wider systemic problem that complainant has discovered going on between both aforementioned cases. Complainant alleges a wide array of abuses which are believed to be taking place by misapplying Arizona law. PCR counsel _____ is more aware of this, though so is the originating attorney _____ in _____ Municipal Court Case _____ as complainant brought a separate Constitutional issue to the attention of his original counsel _____ but it was dismissed and disregarded. That issue is widely expected to be litigated in the PCR proceedings whether or not _____ agree's to argue the position or not. This appears to be nothing more than a "railroad job" as the State continues to misapply the law through the courts and skirt the system by simply reassigning judges in hopes that the public doesn't catch on. And in fact it appears to be more of a "policy" issue in order to ensure continuity of the established system despite the fact that it inherently and flagrantly infringes on a criminal defendant's right to due process within both Federal and State Constitutional law.

On _____ Arizona _____ Court _____ ordered a study of the indigent defense system in Arizona under Administrative Order: 2025-188. Complainant has already pushed these cases to the appropriate agencies for analysis due to the discovered abuses of process as complainant's criminal matter is one of an indigent defense matter. The other _____ Municipal Court judge this directly affects due to egregious violations of Arizona Constitutional mandatory law is one _____

It was discovered early on in complainant's criminal matter that it appears as though the state's illegal process attempts to exploit indigent defendants by forcing them to pay a _____ indigent defense fee in violation of Arizona Constitutional law under Article II Section 24 under the Arizona Constitution prior to conviction. It is believed that the _____ Municipal Court as well as the _____ County _____ Court is applying statutory law as in this case under A.R.S. § 9-499.09 and potentially in _____ Court cases under A.R.S. § 11-584 despite the fact that doing so prior to conviction is a clear established violation of Arizona Constitutional law. It is believed this is happening due to an underfunded indigent defense

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Honorable Judge

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

system.

A separate judicial complaint will be filed against Judge . The only way complainant believes he can even stand a chance at a fair and impartial trial would be for Judge to recuse herself from the PCR matter so that these reasonably clear perceived injustices can be fully litigated and gotten to the bottom of. Not just for the complainant but for the protection of the public through the indigent defense system. While complainant knows this commission has no power to remove a judge complainant has opted in filing this judicial complaint in hopes that Judge does the right thing in recusing herself here. This complaint is just being made more of a formal record as complainant is attempting to give the state grace here in allowing them to see the discrepancies which he has discovered before moving to full fledge litigation against the state in a potential Special Action. A notice of claim for these issues has already been served upon the City of though again this is a step complainant has opted for first in order to preserve state resources to allow them to correct the abuses of process internally which saves the tax payers money as well as allowing the state to save face.

RE: Victim Services-

From:



To:



Date: On

at

Ok, unfortunately, the Prosecutor or the Judge in the courtroom would not know you were present as a victim on a case without you informing them. The hearings are public hearings, so anyone can attend them. If you have your statement written out, you can send it in to me and I will attach it to the file and forward it to the Prosecutor. It looks like she did accept the Diversion Plea. If she successfully completes diversion, the charge will be dismissed. If she does not, a sentencing hearing will be set and you would then have another opportunity to address the court.

From:

Sent:

To:

Subject: RE: Victim Services-

I never said that I spoke with anyone. I did not speak with anyone on . I waited in the courtroom for someone to advise me of the process. I was sitting in the second or third row on the right side of the courtroom.

Sent from [Proton Mail](#) for iOS

On

at

wrote:

Hi

I did not escort you to the hearing on the . Were you with another advocate or was anyone aware that you were present as a victim and what case you were there on? As the victim on the case, you absolutely did have the right to address the court at the time of sentencing. I have extensive notes in the file about our meeting on and your desire to address the court at the time of sentencing. Can you please let me know who you spoke with on ?

From:

Sent:

To:

Subject: Victim Services-

Good Morning

I am writing because something has just not been sitting right with me the last few days. Can you please explain to me why I was not afforded the right to address the court at change of plea hearing on ? I was present in the courtroom and awaited my opportunity to address the court per Arizona's Constitution Article II Section 2.1 (A) (4) of the Victims' bill of rights.

As you probably recall, I had specifically contacted the Victim Services department prior to

hearing on (though the same day) before meeting with you in the hallway that same day and advising of my desire to address the court at any change of plea hearing for .

I really didn't appreciate being ignored and disregarded by the court on . The court failed to even acknowledge my presence and also failed to allow me an opportunity to speak. And I would really like to know why. I also know you were present because I saw you meeting with other victims on . Other victims were afforded the opportunity to speak and this has since left a very bad taste in my mouth because I don't feel as though I have been treated fairly and ultimately feel incredibly disrespected by the court & more importantly the State of Arizona.

Regards,

Sent from Proton Mail for iOS

State of Arizona vs. 	Case Number 	Appointment of Counsel Order
--	---	------------------------------

ORDER

A petition for appointment of counsel having been filed,

☐ IT IS ORDERED that counsel not be appointed.

☒ IT IS ORDERED that the following counsel be appointed to represent the defendant who is indigent:

Assigned To Courtroom:

☒ IT IS FURTHER ORDERED appointment of counsel is for all proceedings in this case up to and including the filing of a Notice of Appeal.

☐ The Court finds that the Defendant does not have financial resources to offset the cost of legal services.

☐ The Court finds that the Defendant may be able to offset the cost of legal services. The Court will determine the amount of payment, if any, at the end of the proceedings.

☒ IT IS ORDERED that Defendant pay \$ Money Indigent Assessment Fee to the court by:

☐ The Court finds the Defendant has financial resources which may offset the cost of legal services.

IT IS ORDERED that the Defendant pay: to the Court by

The Court will review this order at the end of the proceedings to determine if additional payment will be required.

Date:

Judicial Officer:

Defendant Address: _____
 Phone / Cell #: _____
 Email Address: _____

IMPORTANT:
 Defendant is RESPONSIBLE to contact assigned Public Defender before the next court date if no contact information is provided to the court.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**