

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-080

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 21, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge refused to appoint a guardian/conservator for his mother.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission member Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 21, 2026.

RE: Complaint against
COURT Judge -

County

Comp

AZ. Commission on Judicial Conduct, 2026-080

Judge is violating the law, court rules and constitutional rights of those appearing before him because of prejudice, bigotry, drug use and/or personal financial gain.

In probate case.

He is attempting to string out the case so an 80 yr old lady will die before a conservator can be appointed so that he can get her home for pennies on the dollar when seized for failure to pay taxes (Home is paid off, no liens) and it is believed he had a personal relationship with

so his inactions amount to attempted murder as she has little money for medical and in-home care, because of this Judge's inaction, which may cause her death sooner than necessary.

He first lied by claiming no petition filed, which allowed about a month delay and now he just refuses to take any actions. He won't rule on

motions which are months old, he won't hold any hearings and won't consider appointing a conservator of his choice. This is an uncontested case and we are only asking for court to appoint a conservator of the court's choice as I, the heir, is incarcerated and can't do anything.

Now his lying in minute entries may be out of this commissions jurisdiction, but his failure to take any action trying to wipe out the death of this lady is. Judges have no immunity for criminal conduct or for failure to act as absence of a decision is not an exercise of his judicial function Galati v. Lake Havasu City, 186 Ariz. 131 (Div 1-1996).

Please investigate as he is probably responsible for murdering others and stealing from them in his yrs on the bench.

Sincerely,
