

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-093

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

April 24, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge abused her authority in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Joseph C. Kreamer and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on April 24, 2026.

Anonymous,

Comp

RE: Hon. Complaint
complaint for fraud and other misconduct.

addendum to previous

2026-093

It is my best interest to file this complaint anonymously as I work for of
Arizona and feel it is best to only provide the necessary parties to this complaint vs
Hon. Our Mission statement: At the Arizona

(), our mission is to successfully engage children and families to
ensure safety, strengthen families and achieve permanency. The department
engages families by investigating reports of child abuse and neglect. When
necessary, we provide services to ensure the safety of a child in a stable family or
out-of-home placement. In cases of out-of-home placement, we work with court
oversight to achieve permanency through reunification, guardianship or adoption.

is a forward-looking agency. We will remain alert to current challenges
without forsaking optimism for a brighter future. This agency is marked by
industriousness, enthusiasm and a passion to serve children and families in a way
that overpowers those who do children harm. Our confidence, competence,
integrity, and team spirit will influence skeptics to choose to be part of creative
solutions that empower all. Our goal is to make Arizona the safest place in the
country for children to grow and thrive

Our department received a complaint from the mother of the child in the matter
of . Our findings were the same as those of Police. The
report of abuse was unsubstantiated. It was clearly a false report by Mom in order
to gain an advantage in a custody matter.

Father was totally cooperative throughout the process and even volunteered to take parenting classes to help with the raising of his child. We found no issues with Father's parenting nor the residential home.

I had a chance to run into _____ and asked how he and _____ were doing. He stated he hadn't seen his child in nearly _____ years due to the report from _____. I assured _____ I would review our records, but we found his home for _____ to be a safe and nurturing environment.

After some review, we found that Judge _____ committed fraud and falsified our findings. When a sitting judge falsified the findings of _____, _____ Pd as well as documented records that the child was in therapy.... Families and Arizona has a major problem on their hands.

Q: Can a judge falsify finding or manipulate facts to get the outcome they desire?

A: According to Google.com

Yes, judges can theoretically manipulate facts or falsify findings, which constitutes "fraud upon the court" and undermines justice, but it's a serious ethical breach requiring strong proof, with mechanisms like appeals, new trials (Rule 60 motions), and judicial ethics investigations designed to catch such misconduct, though judicial immunity protects judges from most lawsuits for their decisions. Judges are bound by strict duties of neutrality, impartiality, and following evidence, and while legal errors happen, intentional corruption is rare but addressable.

How it's addressed

- **Appeals:** An appellate court can overturn a decision if the trial judge's errors (including factual misinterpretations) were significant enough to affect the outcome (prejudicial).
- **Motion for Relief (Rule 60(d)(3)):** Parties can file a motion for relief from judgment if they can prove "fraud upon the court," meaning deliberate deception that corrupts the judicial process, not just simple legal errors.
- **Evidence:** Proving this requires clear, concrete evidence of intentional misconduct, like knowingly false statements or concealment, to show the outcome would have been different otherwise.
- **Judicial Discipline:** Judges can face internal investigations and disciplinary action for ethical violations, though this is separate from overturning a case.

Key Principles

- **Due Process:** Constitutional guarantees ensure judges remain neutral, and biased proceedings can be challenged.
- **Judicial Immunity:** Judges generally cannot be sued personally for official actions, even if perceived as biased, making internal accountability crucial.
- **Distinction:** Proving deliberate, outcome-altering fraud is much harder than showing a legal error or misapplication of the law, notes this JustAnswer article.

Chaptgpt:

Can a judge falsify finding or manipulate facts to get the outcome they desire?

A judge **is not legally allowed** to falsify findings or manipulate facts. Doing so would violate the core duties of judicial ethics, undermine due process, and

can lead to removal from the bench, overturned rulings, and even criminal charges. But the more complicated — and more honest — answer is this:

Is it possible for a judge to manipulate facts?

In theory, yes — any human with power can abuse it. But the legal system has multiple layers designed to catch or deter this behavior:

1. Judicial ethics rules explicitly forbid it

Judges must base decisions on the evidence and law. Deliberately misstating facts is a serious ethical breach.

2. Appellate courts review factual findings

If a judge distorts the record, an appellate court can reverse the decision. This is one of the strongest safeguards.

3. Parties can request transcripts, file motions, or appeal

A manipulated factual finding can be challenged through:

- Motions for reconsideration
- Appeals
- Judicial misconduct complaints

4. Judges have been disciplined for improper reliance on false information

Recent reporting shows courts grappling with fabricated or erroneous material — sometimes introduced by lawyers or AI tools — and judges have had to retract rulings when errors were discovered. For example:

- Federal judges in New Jersey and Mississippi retracted rulings after staff used AI tools that inserted fabricated facts and citations.
- Courts have faced issues with fabricated evidence and deepfakes, prompting judges to demand stricter verification.
- Judges have withdrawn orders tainted by false information, raising ethical concerns and calls for oversight.

These incidents show that **errors or false information can enter the judicial process**, but when discovered, they trigger scrutiny, sanctions, and corrections.

 **But can a judge intentionally manipulate facts to get a desired outcome?**

They *can*, but it is:

- **Unethical**
- **Illegal**
- **Risky**, because transcripts, evidence, and appeals create a paper trail
- **Likely to be overturned** if challenged

Judges who intentionally falsify findings can face:

- Removal from the bench
- Professional discipline
- Criminal charges (e.g., fraud, obstruction)
- Public exposure

Microsoft Copilot:

What happens if a Judge in a family court case manipulates the findings to get the outcome they desire

When a judge **manipulates findings** in a family-court case to steer the outcome they personally want, the legal system treats that as one of the most serious forms of judicial misconduct. Let me break it down in a way that's both clear and grounded in how these systems actually work.

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**