

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-111

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 26, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner failed to follow the law regarding parenting time in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 26, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2026-111****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Dear Sir / Ma'am,

I am asking for help,
In the years of being
a Dad I have not seen
such misuse of our courts
justice system as with Hon.

. The Childs best
interest violated! I Love my
Children and fought for our
Country now fighting for my son.

" Please see Attachment² "

// \\
Case#
County Court
Az

respondent filed for mediation and for the child to be interviewed by
County Court as the previous judge recommended .
Due to the father wanting to homeschool the child, and to be sure, the mother's boyfriends
aren't sleeping in the child's room or invading the child's personal belongings. Mediation was
requested by Respondent, unfortunately it did not have any success for the child's best
interest.

respondent file for temporary emergency custody called the sheriffs department
that child was hit in the head by petitioners boyfriend Judge Denied my
request to protect my son but granted the same motion filed by THE petitioner where child
was being forced to sleep in the bedroom with mother and boyfriend having sexual
intercourse creating trauma to a lil boy .

and Hearing Child was not allowed to see father for nearly a month during this
time, father did not have representation at court. I had "three witnesses" then on more than
one occasion "the judge tried to not allow the witnesses to come forward" in order to speed up
time to apparently get me to see my child all while "violating due process" of the courts This
judge did not even speak to one of my witnesses since I was unfamiliar with it doesn't matter
if we had to continue because the judge wasn't gonna make it where I could see my son
sooner he used deception and almost didn't see any of my witnesses "thanks, be the Lord
Jesus Christ" at least "two of my witnesses" were heard, and their testimony should be read in
conjunction to what the judges ordered to see that "this judge has been biased". I also had
"three letters, one from my child's coach for soccer " another from my "child's neighbor
" another letter from the "home school elder at the church". I also had letters from my
mother, my five sisters and the only person they responded had at her court. Hearing was my
daughter's mom " who was at the time in violation of the court order", not
allowing me to see my daughter who "I had just been awarded joint custody" "the same exact
time this judge takes my son away" to try and have me do "mental eval" when I already had
"provided a mental eval letter from the " where I have been going since
". This all began that very first day of court when the petitioners lawyer said they wanted
some type of "psychiatric" or "psychy stuff" immediately going after father's service connected
Disability, which he has been managing and has shown the courts letters of medication and
visit consistent for the past years When the judge made a statement that sometimes

people's mental health changes that's true, but obviously that's not the case

responding gets to see the child on a very limited basis as it seems. The current judge is using punishment for keeping the child from the petitioner until [redacted] was informed by respondent about the petitioner's boyfriend hitting the child on [redacted] in the head and wanted to make sure the child returned without having to feel in fear of seriously bodily injury. The boyfriend at the time was staying with [redacted] at Her mother's house [redacted] who is the main person for all these problems that have continued to occur with these false allegations as [redacted] had brought up a false allegations while [redacted] and I were married that had to be overturned by [redacted] Board of appeals "The grandmother, [redacted] was also ordered to stay away from the children, [redacted] and [redacted] because she was found to be manipulating cohering the children. This is the same person. My son is having to stay with now. At trial, the judge did not give respondent due process and allow for my witnesses all to be heard and for cross examining at one point, I had to admonish the judge about having a fair trial when the judge wanted to ignore that I had the right to cross-examine "the judge immediately formed a bias One of those Reason was being self represented," did not allow my evidence to be viewed. I requested a judge change that was denied since I had appealed the temporary orders, but temporary orders aren't appealable the next hearing

the "petitioners lawyer [redacted] and "Judge [redacted] were found in the courtroom for over 10 minutes prior to the hearing

"[redacted] having
"ex parte"

and an unprofessional communication, laughing sitting down, legs crossed as respondent, i walked in both lawyer and judge got quiet did not continue the conversation. "The judge asked the lawyer for a recess and asked me to step out after "I asked him if they were having a good time". I found this to be "degrading to my case "and leads me to believe that "this type of friendship" and "behavior" has already "created my case to be lost". The Petitioners lawyer consistently makes horrible comments in court speaking about my ex wives [redacted] as the reason for father request on background of any boyfriends around the child when [redacted] has a bad smelling [redacted] issues with her [redacted] and found it to be unreasonable to have this type of cowardly unprofessional behavior .

I completed a mental evaluation that I thought had met the judges requirements, not knowing much of the difference between a psychological and psychiatric evaluation. The apparent evaluation was done by a professional organization. [redacted] The evaluator expressed her findings that the therapist believed I was being dragged into court, and my child being kept due to my ex trying to use my disability as leverage in court, and found that I was not a threat to myself or others. The judge did not like this evaluation because it was a psychiatric, not a psychological, and did not believe that I had provided the therapist the judges orders, which I had.

the judge ordered me to a new therapist that was at the judges selection by the petitioner, and that I had to pay for the full fee of [redacted] which I did not know at the time where I would've appealed that biased selection that I also known it was selected by the petitioner And her lawyer I contacted the therapist completed the Assessment

given by the evaluator and told that I would be contacted for further review I contacted the therapist weeks before court to find out the completion of the evaluation. When the biased evaluator stated they needed more money in order to keep playing, which was not the agreement. They said if I had given them they would complete the psychological evaluation and that before court, I would have to give them the remaining I had asked for the judge to reconsider due to the cost, as well as filed a request for cost share. Immediately "the judge waited months" and "then decided that they would give me the relief" to get a "non Biased therapist" I could afford when I had already asked that months ago this was done nothing more than to "punish father" and to continue to "take away the school time" from him which he had been asking for. "The judge has deliberately" given my ex-wife 100% control over my child. My child has never shown to be fearful of me or to have ever been assaulted as in the previous hearings the judge made the decision prior to getting an "interview of the child" which showed "the child was never in any danger". The judge never even had "the people saying the child was hurt in court to make these statements", even after being "subpoenaed", I had asked my attorney at the time to "appeal it," but unfortunately, that attorney had a "conflict of interest with the individual

' Who was the one stating that the child had been hurt responding has shown this deliberate attack on my mental health that has been managed "I've had my son 50-50 since he was born".

"The petitioner has had an order of protection" "the past years" and "never" made any "mental health concerns or any safety concerns for the child"

"if she

felt the child was in some sort of "danger" as she is saying "now" then "she should be charged for placing a child in an unsafe place". It has been months since I've had my child overnight and by the time that this is complete, it will be " "!

I hope to "get back the time that has been stolen".

I also hope that cameras are put into the courtrooms.

I also hope to see that temporary orders are only good for days to make the findings whatever the court needs and that the review hearings will not be longer than weeks out, not giving the courts the power to take so much time from the other parent unnecessary. I also hope to see juries not biased judges ruining the families who are already having a difficult time.

father completed another mental eval with Father provided the court order that the judge wanted for the therapist to look over with the judge's concerns and the concerns from the petitioner father signed a release of information granting the other party the ability to speak with the therapist. The other party was granted an additional days to be exact, sending 'emails", "phone calls" and "text messages" to "the therapist." The therapist did not choose to change the outcome of the psychological evaluation as most of "the testing is" "self referred" as was the testing with "the prior therapist" "All a bunch of self referred testing" fathers showed the judge he had allowed other party to speak with the therapist.

:"Father" felt the "courts were not acting" in the "best interest of the child" and requested for a "child lawyer".

court continued "judge denies responded any more time with child" after
" months" of
"not violating any court order"
"three mental eval's"
"all showing father managing and capable of parenting" "FOUR review hearings",
"THREE witnesses"
"FOUR family letters"
"TWO church letters"
"FOUR years of mental health and medication From the "
over "50 hours of parenting classes",
completion of "anger management courses",
"domestic violence classes completed"
"THREE therapeutic visits with this child.
"THREE therapeutic visits with another child". " joint custody awarded to me"
"at the same time this court is taking my son for me". I have had my son 50 / 50 since he was
born. He's now. I just don't understand.
"HOW" I can be in the same court with a "different judge" with
"the same exact redirect," being spoken about me "trashing my name", "trying to keep my
kids from me", but
" I WON"
in one court and have been "completely treated as
a second class citizen" with "this judge", "holding the hands to my child's mother" and "my
child's best interest thrown in the trash".
This is been completely
"unreasonable"
of "this judge violating"
"Arizona Statute - A.R.S. §
25-403.05(C):"

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**