

State of Arizona  
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

---

Disposition of Complaint 26-115

---

Judge:

Complainants:

---

**ORDER**

June 2, 2026

The Complainants alleged a justice of the peace failed to follow the law, made erroneous rulings, and was biased in a civil matter.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Michael J. Brown, Regina L. Nassen, and Delia R. Neal did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 2, 2026.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

2026-115

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

Judge's Name:

Hon.

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Judge \_\_\_\_\_ handling of my civil case ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) involved procedural failures, factual inaccuracies, and omissions that undermine fairness and impartiality. The written judgment contradicts the trial transcript, ignores admitted evidence, and misstates material facts, violating Canons 1, 2, and 3.

1. Failure to Rule on Pending Motions Three motions were pending at trial: Motion to Amend, Motion for Summary Judgment, and Motion to Vacate (service defect). Judge \_\_\_\_\_ acknowledged but never ruled on the first two. Transcript quotes: • “

\_\_\_\_\_” ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) • “

\_\_\_\_\_” ( \_\_\_\_\_ )

2. Failure to Address Service Defect I repeatedly stated I was never served with the FFH judgment: • “

\_\_\_\_\_” ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) • “

\_\_\_\_\_” ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) Judge \_\_\_\_\_ acknowledged: “

\_\_\_\_\_” ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) The written judgment denies my motion without addressing service or making factual findings.

3. Written Judgment Contradicts the Transcript The Minute Entry states I “ \_\_\_\_\_.” Transcript contradicts this: • “

\_\_\_\_\_” ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) • “

\_\_\_\_\_” ( \_\_\_\_\_ )

4. Ignoring Admitted Evidence Twelve exhibits were admitted (police reports, medical records, emails from caseworker \_\_\_\_\_, thermostat access denial, text messages). Transcript: “

\_\_\_\_\_” The written judgment does not mention a single exhibit or any statutory claims.

5. Ignoring Prior Court Ruling Establishing Tenancy Transcript: “

\_\_\_\_\_” ( \_\_\_\_\_ ) The judgment

never mentions ARLTA, even though ARLTA violations were the core of the case.

6. Misapplication of Standing Judge dismissed the case because I “

\_\_\_\_\_.” Under ARLTA, standing comes from being a tenant. My claims included wrongful eviction, utility shutoff, harassment, emotional distress, unlawful entry, and property loss—none depend on who paid rent.

7. Appearance of Bias Judge \_\_\_\_\_ adopted the defendant's narrative, ignored my evidence, inserted facts not in the record, failed to apply ARLTA, failed to address service defects, failed to rule on motions, and dismissed the entire case with prejudice based on a single irrelevant fact.

Requested Action: I request the Commission investigate Judge \_\_\_\_\_ conduct, review the transcript and judgment, and determine whether his actions violated the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct.

**CONFIDENTIAL**

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct  
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229  
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

**FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**

|  |
|--|
|  |
|--|

**COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name:

|  |
|--|
|  |
|--|

Judge's Name:

Hon.

|  |
|--|
|  |
|--|

**Instructions:** Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

|  |
|--|
|  |
|--|