

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-126

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 26, 2026

The Complainant alleged a justice of the peace upheld an invalid and forged judgment.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 26, 2026.

STATEMENT OF MISCONDUCT

1. JUDGE

FINDS FAKE

JUDGMENT VALID STILL

The default judgment document provided by the plaintiffs' attorney has a court stamp at the top of the page showing the court stamped it on (EXHIBIT A). The signature of the judge at that time has [redacted]. There is a certificate of service in the bottom left-hand corner of the document stating it was mailed to [redacted] on [redacted]. It is a physical and legal impossibility for a document to be mailed and filed nearly a month before the judge even signed it. Despite these clear signs of a manufactured or forged record, Judge [redacted] validated this document in his [redacted], order as being a legal and valid judgment, but yet he can say service was legal and **the judgment is valid still.**

2. JUDGE

MAKES MISLEADING STATEMENTS

Judge [redacted] in signing his [redacted], order (EXHIBIT B) states that [redacted]. " The facts of what kind of debt this was in [redacted] are not in any document presented with the attorneys affidavit for renewal. Further Judge [redacted] again uses the wrong first name and even misspells the last name [redacted] instead of [redacted]. He also stated that "

" The Official Court Docket (EXHIBIT C) shows that on [redacted] the attorney released and quashed the writ. Judge [redacted] is putting in facts that are not on the record or misstating the facts on the docket. After giving his version of the facts he states that **the judgment is valid still.**

3. JUDGE

PREJUDGED THIS CASE

The Official Court Docket (EXHIBIT C) proves that on [redacted], the day I filed my Objection to the Affidavit for Renewal, an undesignated order was denied. Judge [redacted] admits in his [redacted], order that my objection was filed on [redacted]. This shows that the Judge denied my proposed order filed with the objection the moment it was docketed, without waiting for a response from the attorneys and without looking at proof I submitted. By killing my proposed order the same day the objection was filed, the Judge proved he had already made up his mind to side with the law firm regardless of the truth.

4. JUDGE

RUSHED TO JUDGMENT

The Official Court Docket (**EXHIBIT C**) shows that I filed my Reply to the Plaintiff's Response on . On that same day, Judge issued his final order denying my objection. It is a physical impossibility for a Judge to perform a meaningful review a 7-page reply and all the included exhibits that were attached in the time between my docketed reply and his ruling. This second "snap ruling" proves a total lack of judicial diligence and a predetermined intent to rule against me before even seeing my arguments or examining my evidence.

5. JUDGE

IGNORED FRAUDULENT PROOF OF SERVICE

When the plaintiff's attorney filed their response to my objection on they included a copy of the certificate of service from (**EXHIBIT D**). The certificate of service incorrectly identified in the document caption as a married couple and in the body of the certificate as a single person. I provided proof in the form of a photo of my husband's urn that i was a widow in . The certificate of service also included a physical description of the person served as follows: Sex: Skin: Hair: Age: Height Weight: . In my reply to the response I provided the court with copies of my U.S. Military ID and my Arizona Driver's License which clearly shows that based on my date of birth that in I was and my license clearly shows that I am . and I also sent photos showing that I wear a wig because in I lost all my hair after the death of my husband of years. **All of these exhibits are currently available in the court file attached to my reply dated and my motion to reconsider filed on** . Despite my proof being right in the file, Judge stated in his order "

CONCLUSION

I am an -year-old widow on a fixed income, What I know about the law is what I Google online. What I do know from life experience is that a judge has a duty to be honest and fair and should protect the rights of common people. Judge has shown through the above actions that his concern is not for the common person put for protecting attorneys. Judge errors are not legal errors they show a pattern of choosing to protect the attorney in this case by accepting their evidence

while ignoring my evidence. I respectfully request that the Commission investigate these actions to restore some level of honesty to the Justice Court.

Respectfully Submitted



A

JUSTICE COURT

Attorney for Plaintiff.

COUNTY JUSTICE COURT, ARIZONA
JUSTICE COURT

a foreign entity,
Plaintiff,

vs.

and JOHN DOE, husband
and wife
Defendants

No.

DEFAULT JUDGMENT

Based upon the pleadings, affidavits and exhibits of record, and good cause appearing, the Court orders as follows:

1. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff, in the sum of the principal balance of _____, and against Defendant(s), minus any payments made;
2. Judgment is hereby entered in favor of Plaintiff, for court costs of _____, and against Defendant(s).
3. There being no just reason for delay, it is hereby ordered that judgment be entered immediately.

DATED this ____ day of _____ 20 ____

/s/

Judge/Commissioner/Pro Tem

Copy of the foregoing
mailed _____ to:

Defendant(s)



County Justice Courts, Arizona

Justice Court

CASE NUMBER: _____

Plaintiff(s) Name / Address / Email / Phone

Defendant(s) Name / Address / Email / Phone

Attorney for Plaintiff (s) Name / Address / Email / Phone

Attorney for Defendant(s) Name / Address / Email / Phone

RULING ON MOTION

On _____ the ☐ Plaintiff ☒ Defendant filed a motion requesting the following relief:
Defendant's Objection to Affidavit For Renewal of Judgment

The ☒ Plaintiff ☐ Defendant ☐ Did not file a responsive pleading
☒ Filed a response to the motion on this day _____

The ☐ Plaintiff ☒ Defendant ☐ Did not file a reply
☒ Filed a reply to the response on this day _____

The Court, has considered that which has been submitted by the parties
IT IS ORDERED ☐ Granting said motion ☒ Denying said motion

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED

Service was proper. Billing on the original credit card was to _____ at _____
because those bank funds were exempt at that time. Judgment is valid still.

The quashed garnishment was

Date: _____ /s/ _____
Justice of the Peace

I CERTIFY that I delivered / mailed a copy of this document to:

☐ Plaintiff at the above address ☐ Plaintiff's attorney ☐ Defendant at the above Address ☐ Defendant's attorney

Date: _____ By _____
Clerk

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**