

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-139

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

May 26, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge delayed rulings and issued inaccurate minute entries in multiple civil cases.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on May 26, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2026-139

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Judge

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

See attached "Statement of Judicial Misconduct" for further details.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Judge

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

FILED

ARIZONA	COURT,	COUNTY
In Re the Matter Of:	Case No.	
	MINUTE ENTRY	
An Adult.	Date:	CLERK OF THE COUNTY CT.

EVENT: Hearing on Petition for Change of Name of an Adult.

START TIME: _____ END TIME: _____

COURTROOM: #1

HONORABLE

COURT REPORTER: N/A

LIBERTY RECORDING: [X]

COURT INTERPRETER: N/A

DEPUTY CLERK: _____

PRESENT:

This is the date and time set by the Court for a hearing on the Petition for Change of Name of an Adult, in the above captioned matter; the Court calls the case on the record, and the following proceedings were held:

The Court notes for the record that this matter was set for today at _____ showed up outside of the building mad; the Court staff has had issues in the past with his behavior; he was met outside of the courthouse by the court administrator, and the court's security directed _____ to behave respectfully, however, he did not want to agree or abide by those conditions. _____ indicates that he preferred to conduct the hearing telephonically, therefore.

Based upon the applicant's request, **IT IS ORDERED** that this matter is hereby Vacated.

The Court will issue a separate Order in chambers resetting this matter, said order will be sent to _____ via email at the email address provided.

There being no further issues pending before the Court at this time, the hearing adjourned.

Copies to:

✓
[Signature]

FORMAL JUDICIAL CONDUCT COMPLAINT

Against: Judge

Court: County Court

Complainant:

Case Reference:

I. INTRODUCTION

This complaint concerns serious judicial misconduct by Judge arising from the issuance of a Minute Entry in **Case No.** relating to my petition for a name change, as well as a broader pattern of behavior affecting multiple civil cases. The conduct includes:

1. Material misrepresentation of facts in an official Minute Entry;
2. Prolonged delays and failure to rule on motions or process filings, including restraining orders, proposed orders, discovery, and alternative service requests;
3. Interference with my constitutional right to meaningful access to the courts;
4. Conduct inconsistent with the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct.

The Minute Entry in contains statements materially mischaracterizing events outside the courthouse immediately prior to a scheduled hearing, portraying me as angry, disruptive, and noncompliant. These characterizations are **false and unverified**, yet were adopted as judicial findings, resulting in vacated hearings and denial of my right to be heard.

Judicial orders carry the weight of state authority and must be based on **accurate facts and neutral adjudication**. Misrepresentations, whether intentional or negligent, undermine public confidence in the judiciary and violate multiple provisions of the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct, the Arizona Constitution, and the United States Constitution.

II. MISREPRESENTATION OF FACTS IN OFFICIAL COURT RECORD

1. The Minute Entry states that:
 - I “showed up outside of the building mad”;
 - Court staff had “issues in the past with his behavior”;
 - Court security instructed me to behave respectfully and I refused;
 - I requested the hearing be conducted telephonically.

2. These statements are factually inaccurate. At no point did I behave disruptively or disrespectfully. I was calmly addressing legal matters with a clerk when a sheriff's deputy stood directly over me. I requested private communication, which was denied.
 3. Instead of verifying these interactions, courthouse personnel and Judge adopted **unverified claims as judicial findings**, resulting in the cancellation of a scheduled hearing and an **inaccurate court record**.
 4. The failure to independently verify facts **violates the judicial obligation to ensure accurate and impartial records**, undermining public trust (Canon 1, Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct) and denying due process guaranteed under:
 - **U.S. Constitution, 14th Amendment — Due Process Clause;**
 - **Arizona Constitution, Article II, §4 — Due Process;**
 - Principles articulated in **Mathews v. Eldridge, 424 U.S. 319 (1976)** (balancing fairness and procedural safeguards in administrative or judicial processes).
 5. Issuance of judicial findings based on unverified statements also raises **potential civil liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983** when such errors contribute to deprivation of federally protected rights (See *Monroe v. Pape*, 365 U.S. 167 (1961); *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908)).
-

III. PATTERN OF DELAY AND FAILURE TO RULE ON MOTIONS

1. The misconduct is not isolated. Across multiple civil cases —
 — motions and proposed orders remain unresolved for extended periods,
including:
 - Motions for temporary restraining orders;
 - Proposed orders for hearings;
 - Service authorization motions;
 - Discovery requests;
 - Alternative service requests;
 - Sixth Amended Combined Civil Complaint ().
2. These delays prevent:
 - Judicial review;
 - Service on defendants;

**THE COMMISSION'S POLICY IS
TO POST ONLY THE FIRST FIVE
PAGES OF ANY DISMISSED
COMPLAINT ON ITS WEBSITE.**

**FOR ACCESS TO THE
REMAINDER OF THE
COMPLAINT IN THIS MATTER,
PLEASE MAKE YOUR REQUEST
IN WRITING TO THE
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL
CONDUCT AND REFERENCE
THE COMMISSION CASE
NUMBER IN YOUR REQUEST.**