

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 26-163

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 2, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court judge was biased in a criminal case, which contributed to a harsh sentence.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Denise K. Aguilar, Michael J. Brown, Joseph C. Kreamer, and Regina L. Nassen did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 2, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY**2026-163****COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**

Name: _____

Judge's Name: _____

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

During the hearings of _____ insisted that
I keep the hearings in front of _____ that he was
Very familiar with this judge, and because of this familiarity
and work relationship _____ felt that _____ may
show sympathy and not revoke the probation sentence,
sending me to prison. At the hearing _____ I
do not feel _____ communicated my right to contest
the allegations or truly understand whether I was
pressured or coerced into moving forward with the
disposition hearing. _____ admits I suffered from
physical abuse on this case as a victim, and as he states,
I was in an impossible situation. _____ also
acknowledged he read the appellate ruling;

No.

This ruling confirms I have suffered dual punishment
for this case, in a matter I have not been afforded
constitutional protections.

Towards the end of the hearing,
seemed fixated on a portion of a letter my mom
submitted to the court on my behalf. Focusing on my
mom's opinion of previously appointed counsel in
regards to another court. _____ tone
seemed defensive and angered, as if he was

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

--

Judge's Name:

--

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

offended, that my mom was referring to
as ineffective counsel. (At the time, she was
not.) I do feel this personal bias may have contributed
to my sentence. I later on contacted the court in
asking for a sentence reduction, also explaining the
conditions of confinement, and asking for help with
a physical hearing, in hopes of reporting more
serious dangers that I didn't feel safe writing about
openly. response dated
was harsh and threatening. Thus causing post-conviction
relief counsel to ask to dismiss the PCR out of fear
would impose a harsher sentence. I
filed another motion to modify the sentence and have
not received a response. I noticed
again appointed as counsel, and was
I raised grounds on both and to the
appropriate higher courts and other sources of administrative
remedies. The grounds raised involve their actions and
inactions during their involvement on the case. I also
asked the judge to be reassigned in the recent motion
to modify sentence, and this seems to have been ignored.
The judge has shown prejudiced bias towards
, and has ignored and refused to uphold
Constitutional Law in regards to the case and
sentencing.