

State of Arizona
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL CONDUCT

Disposition of Complaint 2026-211

Judge:

Complainant:

ORDER

June 5, 2026

The Complainant alleged a superior court commissioner failed to follow the law or procedure in a family law case.

The role of the Commission on Judicial Conduct is to impartially determine whether a judicial officer has engaged in conduct that violates the Arizona Code of Judicial Conduct or Article 6.1 of the Arizona Constitution. There must be clear and convincing evidence of such a violation in order for the Commission to take disciplinary action against a judicial officer.

The Commission does not have jurisdiction to overturn, amend, or remand a judicial officer's legal rulings. The Commission reviewed all relevant available information and concluded there was not clear and convincing evidence of ethical misconduct in this matter. The complaint is therefore dismissed pursuant to Commission Rules 16(a) and 23(a).

Commission members Regina L. Nassen and Christopher P. Staring did not participate in the consideration of this matter.

Copies of this order were distributed to all appropriate persons on June 5, 2026.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
 1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
 Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

2026-211

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE

Name:

Judge's Name:

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

Failure to Issue Orders: Purposely neglecting to issue written orders or delaying orders and errors in mintue entries

Misrepresenting Proceedings: Intentionally altering or falsifying minute entries to reflect something other than what actually occurred in court. Judge stated mother refused to come into the court room audio will confirm attorney of opposing side actions affected mothers entry minture entry " child warrant" actually a civil pentailly for denying third party vistations.

Intentional Delay/Refusal : Habitually delaying proceedings, refusing to set hearings, or failing to act on motions, of judges didnt rule on serveral motion or properly reschedule hearings for custody of minor .

Failed Oversight: Failure to act on reliable information about attorney misconduct is in itself a failure of the judge to properly execute their duties. has misrepresentation facts and statement in motions .

Abuse of Discretion in Scheduling: habitual delay in entry of mintue entries , and schduling of court hearings

Bias or prejudice, improper ruling off case hbistory instead of the law and violations of mothers parental rights

Improper Communication (Ex Parte): Engaging in discussions with only one side of a case without the other party present or email ex parte communication. persoanly sent orders then judge ruled on after two days other party wasnt properly notified .

Ex parte communication with attorney for case management

Abuse of power.

CONFIDENTIAL

Arizona Commission on Judicial Conduct
1501 W. Washington Street, Suite 229
Phoenix, Arizona 85007

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

--

COMPLAINT AGAINST A JUDGE**Name:**

--

Judge's Name:

--

Instructions: Use this form or plain paper of the same size to file a complaint. Describe in your own words what you believe the judge did that constitutes judicial misconduct. Be specific and list all of the names, dates, times, and places that will help the commission understand your concerns. Additional pages may be attached along with copies (not originals) of relevant court documents. Please complete one side of the paper only, and keep a copy of the complaint for your records.

--